WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Applic	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	E	Borough/City:	Matanusk	ka-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 26-Jun-12
	cant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW12_T20_01
	tigator(s): SLI, LMF		Landform (hill	side, terrac	ce, hummocks etc.): Lowland
	relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope:	% / 2.1	1 ° Elevation: 567
		L at :	· —		
	egion : Southcentral Alaska	Lal	62.724578189	98	
	lap Unit Name:				NWI classification: PEM1E
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for thi	•		● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)
	Vegetation U , Soil U , or Hydrology U		y disturbed?		lormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○
Are '	Vegetation \square , Soil $lackbox{m olimits}$, or Hydrology \square	naturally p	roblematic?	(If nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sh	nowing san	npling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	0			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Is	the Sam	pled Area
	,		wi	thin a W	/etland? Yes ⊙ No ○
Rem	narks:		'		
VEG	ETATION Has asignatific manner of plants	ممم المحادث			
VEG	ETATION -Use scientific names of plants.	. List all spe	ecies in the	piot.	Dominance Test worksheet:
T	a Chuahum	Absolute % Cover		Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.	ee Stratum	<u> </u>		Status	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 10 (A)
2.					Total Number of Dominant
3.					Species Across All Strata:(B)
4.					Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
5.		- 0			
0.	Total Cov				Prevalence Index worksheet:
Sa	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		of Total Cover:	0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Ja	pinig/sii ub stratuii		, or rotal cov er.		OBL Species 36.2 x 1 = 36.2
1.				FAC	FAC Species 12 x 2 = 24
2.		3	✓	FACW	FAC Species 1 x 4 = 4
3.	Andromeda polifolia	1		FACW	
4.		1		FAC	
5.	Myrica gale	1 5	✓	OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B)
5. 6.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa	1 5 5	✓	OBL FAC	Column Totals: <u>63.3</u> (A) <u>106.5</u> (B)
5.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum	1 5 5 3	✓	OBL FAC FAC	Column Totals: <u>63.3</u> (A) <u>106.5</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.682</u>
5. 6. 7. 8.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana	3	✓	OBL FAC FAC FACW	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos	3	Y Y	OBL FAC FAC	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50%
5. 6. 7. 8.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos	3 1 0	Y Y	OBL FAC FAC FACW	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos	3 1 0 ver: 22.1	✓✓✓	OBL FAC FAC OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50%
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Coverserb Stratum	3 1 0 /er: 22.1 11.05 20%	✓✓✓	OBL FAC FAC FACW OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Cover: Drosera rotundifolia	3 1 0 ver: 22.1 11.05 20%	✓ ✓ ✓ □ 6 of Total Cover	OBL FAC FACW OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Coverserb Stratum	3 1 0 /er: 22.1 11.05 20%	✓✓✓	OBL FAC FACW OBL COBL COBL COBL COBL COBL COBL COBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Covers Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum	3 1 0 ver: 22.1 11.05 209 0.1 15	✓ ✓ ✓ □ 6 of Total Cover	OBL FAC FAC OBL OBL OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Covers Solve Stratum Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium	3 1 0 ver: 22.1 11.05 209 0.1 15 5 1	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □	OBL FAC FACW OBL COBL OBL OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium Trientalis europaea	3 1 0 ver: 22.1 11.05 209 0.1 15 5 1	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □	OBL FAC FAC OBL OBL OBL OBL FACU	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Covers 50% of Total Covers Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium Trientalis europaea Carex rariflora Carex pluriflora	3 1 0 /er: 22.1 11.05 209 0.1 15 5 1	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □	OBL FAC FACW OBL COBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Covers 50% of Total Covers Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium Trientalis europaea Carex rariflora Carex pluriflora	3 1 0 /er: 22.1 11.05 209 0.1 15 5 1 5 5	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □	OBL FAC FACW OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Covers 50% of Total Covers Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium Trientalis europaea Carex rariflora Carex pluriflora Pinguicula villosa	3 1 0 /er: 22.1 11.05 20% 0.1 15 5 1 5 0.1	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □	OBL FAC FACW OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium Trientalis europaea Carex rariflora Carex pluriflora Pinguicula villosa Calamagrostis canadensis	3 1 0 ver: 22.1 11.05 20% 0.1 15 5 1 5 5 0.1 3	6 of Total Cover	OBL FAC FACW OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL FACU OBL OBL FACU OBL	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Myrica gale Dasiphora fruticosa Empetrum nigrum Picea mariana Vaccinium oxycoccos Total Cover: Drosera rotundifolia Trichophorum caespitosum Eriophorum angustifolium Trientalis europaea Carex rariflora Carex pluriflora Pinguicula villosa Calamagrostis canadensis Rubus chamaemorus	3 1 0 0	6 of Total Cover	OBL FAC FACW OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL FACU OBL OBL FACU FACW FAC	Column Totals: 63.3 (A) 106.5 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.682 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 0 Total Cover of Bryophytes 95

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW12_T20_01

(inches) Color (r	noist) 9		Redox Features				_			
		<u> </u>	olor (moist)	<u>%</u>	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks		
		— —						-		
					·					
		— —								
								•		
Type: C=Concentration.	D=Depletion. RM	I=Reduced	Matrix ² Location	: PL=Pore	Lining. RC	=Root Char	nnel. M=Matrix			
ydric Soil Indicators:		Ir	ndicators for Pro	blematic	Hydric So	oils: ³				
Histosol or Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	ange (TA4)	+		Alaska Gleyed Without H	lue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epipedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine sv	vales (TA5)			Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox W	ith 2.5Y Hu	ie	✓	Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Thick Dark Surface (A	12)	4					: : d: £bl d l	andrala an		
Alaska Gleyed (A13)		and an appropriate				ary indicator of wetland l sent	туагоюду,			
Alaska Redox (A14)						•				
Alaska Gleyed Pores (A	\15)		Give details of co	ior change	ın kemark	S				
estrictive Layer (if present	î):									
Type:							Hydric Soil Present	:? Yes 💿 No 🔾		
Depth (inches):										
YDROLOGY										
etland Hydrology Indi								icators (two or more are required)		
rimary Indicators (any on	e is sufficient)					(57)		ined Leaves (B9)		
✓ Surface Water (A1)✓ High Water Table (A2)	`		Inundation Vis			, , ,		Patterns (B10)		
✓ Fight Water Table (A2) ✓ Saturation (A3)	,		Sparsely Vege		ave Surrac	e (B8)		Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Marks (B1)			Marl Deposits	, ,	743		Salt Depos	` '		
Sediment Deposits (B2	2)		Hydrogen Sulf	`	,			r Stressed Plants (D1)		
_	<u> </u>		Dry-Season W					` '		
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	1)		U Other (Explain	ı ın kemark	S)			nic Position (D2) quitard (D3)		
)							,		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4	·6)						FAC-neutra	graphic Relief (D4)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	0)						▼ FAC-Heuti	ai rest (D5)		
Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B		No O	Depth (inches	:). 2						
Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (Beld Observations:	Yes 💿 I		, ,	•		Wotles	d Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes • No O		
Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B eld Observations: surface Water Present?	Yes ● I			:). 0		wetian	ia mvaroloav Preser			
Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (Beld Observations: urface Water Present?	Yes 💿 1		Depth (inches	.,. 0			,	ic. 163 © 110 ©		
Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B eld Observations: Surface Water Present? Vater Table Present? Saturation Present?			Depth (inches	•						
Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B leid Observations: Surface Water Present? Water Table Present? Saturation Present? includes capillary fringe) escribe Recorded Data (st	Yes • I Yes • I	No O	Depth (inches	s): 0	tion) if ava					
Iron Deposits (B5)	Yes • I Yes • I	No O	Depth (inches	s): 0	tion) if ava					

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0