WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | Borough/City: Denali Borough | Sampling Date: 06-Aug-12

Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | Sampling Point: **SW12_T16_09**

Investigator(s): SLI, KMK | Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Toeslope

Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave | Slope: % / 10.2 ° | Elevation: 633

Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains | Lat.: 63.4276548693 | Long.: -148.623101882 | Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: | **NWI classification: PSS1B**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? **Yes ◉ No ○**

Remarks: toeslope wetland, no indication that this floods from the Nenana

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Tree Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	0	☐	
2.	0	☐	
3.	0	☐	
4.	0	☐	
5.	0	☐	
Total Cover:	0		

50% of Total Cover: 0 | 20% of Total Cover: 0

Sapling/Shrub Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. Salix pulchra	40	☑	FACW
2. Dasiphora fruticosa	15	☐	FAC
3. Betula neoalaskana	1	☐	FACU
4. Picea glauca	2	☐	FACU
5. Salix commutata	20	☑	FAC
6. Salix pseudomonticola	1	☐	FAC
7. Salix alaxensis	3	☐	FAC
8. Betula glandulosa	0.1	☐	FAC
9. Vaccinium uliginosum	0.1	☐	FAC
10.	0	☐	
Total Cover:	82.2		

50% of Total Cover: 41.1 | 20% of Total Cover: 16.44

Herb Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1. Carex aquatilis	20	☑	OBL
2. Carex rotundata	20	☑	OBL
3. Parnassia palustris	1	☐	FACW
4. Comarum palustre	2	☐	OBL
5. Rumex acetosa	5	☐	FAC
6. Calamagrostis canadensis	10	☐	FAC
7. Equisetum pratense	10	☐	FACW
8. Juncus arcticus	1	☐	OBL
9.	0	☐	
10.	0	☐	
Total Cover:	69		

50% of Total Cover: 34.5 | 20% of Total Cover: 13.8

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL Species	43	x 1 =	43
FACW Species	51	x 2 =	102
FAC Species	54	x 3 =	162
FACU Species	3	x 4 =	12
UPL Species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	151 (A)		319 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.113

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

☑ Dominance Test is > 50%

☑ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0

☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1] Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Plot size (radius, or length x width) 5m

% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)

% Bare Ground 40

Total Cover of Bryophytes 50

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ◉ No ○**

Remarks: trace sweper, viola sp. Rumace placeholder for unknown rumex species.

SOIL

Sampling Point: SW12_T16_09

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		%	Redox Features Color (moist)		%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5									Fibric Organics	
5-6									Hemic Organics	
6-6.5	10YR	4/2	100						Sandy Loam	
6.5-10	10YR	3/2	100%						Silt Loam	highly perturbed, buried organic lenses
10-13	5Y	4/1	80	10YR	4/4	20	C	PL	Silt Loam	buried organics
13-16	5Y	4/1	70	10YR	4/3	30	C	PL	Sandy Loam	

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix [2] Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ☐ Histosol or Histel (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed (A13)
- ☑ Alaska Redox (A14)
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ Alaska Color Change (TA4)[4]
- ☐ Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)
- ☐ Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present

[4] Give details of color change in Remarks

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☑ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Marl Deposits (B15)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)

- ☐ Water Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Salt Deposits (C5)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ☑ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ☑ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:

toeslope wetland in Susitna floodplain with algal crust in game trail and low points.