## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: N	Matanuska-Susitna	Borough Sampling Da	ate: 24-Jun-12			
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Sampling Point:	SW12_T07_05			
Investigator(s): JGK	Landform (hillsid	de, terrace, hummo	ocks etc.): Bench				
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: %	% /° Ele	vation: 504				
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	62.834288102	Long.:	-148.259785703	Datum: NAD83			
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI classification: Up	pland			
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?       Yes       No       (If no, explain in Remarks.)         Are Vegetation       , soil       , or Hydrology       significantly disturbed?       Are "Normal Circumstances" present?       Yes       No         Are Vegetation       , soil       , or Hydrology       naturally problematic?       (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)							
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿		
Hydric Soil Present?	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	within a Wetland?	Yes U NO 🖲
Remarks:				

## VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Abc				bsolute Dominant I		Dominance Test worksheet:		
			Cover_	Species?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.	Picea glauca		15		FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A)		
2.			0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)		
3.			0			Percent of dominant Species		
4.			0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)		
5.			0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	Total Cove	er: _	15			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	7.5	20%	of Total Cover:	3	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1.	Betula glandulosa		40	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species <u>1</u> x 2 = <u>2</u>		
	Picea glauca		5		FACU	FAC Species <u>85</u> x 3 = <u>255</u>		
3.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea		20	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACU Species <u>20</u> x 4 = <u>80</u>		
4.	Vaccinium uliginosum		10		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =		
5.	Rhododendron groenlandicum		5		FAC	Column Totals: <u>106</u> (A) <u>337</u> (B)		
6.	Rhododendron tomentosum		1		FACW			
7.			0			Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.179</u>		
			0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
			0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
			0			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
	Total Cove	er:	81			Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in		
Her	<b>b Stratum</b> 50% of Total Cover:	40.5	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	16.2	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Carex bigelowii	_	10	$\checkmark$	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
2.			0			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
			0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
			0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>		
5.		_	0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 3		
6.		_	0			(Where applicable)		
7.		_	0			% Bare Ground		
8.		_	0			Total Cover of Bryophytes		
9.		_	0					
10.			0			Hydrophytic		
	Total Cove	Vegetation						
	50% of Total Cover:	5	20%	of Total Cover:	2	Present? Yes  No		
Ren	Remarks: trace salcom salix sp. rosaci rubcha and picmar							

Profile Description	on: (Describe t	o the depth r Matrix	needed to doo	ument the ind		nfirm the ab		cators)		
Depth		%			%			Texture	Remarks	
0-2		10150	100	00101 (11	10150)		1990	2	Fibric Organics	20% roots
2-3			100						Hemic Organics	15% roots
3-5	10YR	3/2	100						Fine Loamy Sand	darkens downward and found charcoal in la
5-8	7.5YR	3/4		10YR	3/3	25		M	Fine Loamy Sand	
				1011	5/5					
8-15	7.5YR	2.5/2	100						Fine Loamy Sand	
					·					
	-			-	-					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D	D=Depletior	n. RM=Redu	iced Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Location	: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil In	dicators:			Indicat	ors for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: <sup>3</sup>		
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alas	ka Color Ch	ange (TA	4)		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder
Histic Epip	edon (A2)				ka Alpine s	-			Underlying Layer	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	ka Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	Hue		Other (Explain in Remar	ks)
	Surface (A1	2)		3 One ii	ndicator of	hydrophyl	tic vegetatio	n one prir	mary indicator of wetland I	vdrology
Alaska Gle							pe position			lydrology,
Alaska Red	. ,	45)		4 Give o	letails of co	olor chang	e in Remarl	s		
	ed Pores (A	15)						-		
Restrictive Layer (if present):										
	Type: ice Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No 🖲							? Yes ∪ No ●		
Depth (inches): 10										
Remarks:										
HYDROLO										
Wetland Hydr										cators (two or more are required)
Primary Indicat		e is sufficier	<u>nt)</u>					()		ned Leaves (B9)
Surface W	• •						erial Image	, , ,		Patterns (B10)
□ High Water Table (A2)       □ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)       □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)         ☑ Saturation (A3)       □ Marl Deposits (B15)       □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)										
Saturation (A3)       Marl Deposits (B15)       Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)         Water Marks (B1)       Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)       Salt Deposits (C5)										
Sediment Deposits (B2)       Dry-Season Water Table (C2)       Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)										
	Drift Deposits (B3)						Geomorphic Position (D2)			
□ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)       □ Shallow Aquitard (D3)										
Iron Deposits (B5)							Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
	oil Cracks (B6	5)								al Test (D5)
Field Observa	•									
Surface Water		Yes	🔿 🛛 No 🖲	De	epth (inche	s):				
Water Table P	resent?	Yes	) No 🖲	De	epth (inche	s):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Preser	it? Yes $oldsymbol{igodol}$ No $igodol$

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Depth (inches): 10

Remarks:

aquitard within 12" of surface, thus do not need associated water table to meet A3.

Yes 💿 No 🔿

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)