WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	E	Borough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 30-Jul-12
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW12_T05_04
Investigator(s): CTS, EKJ	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Flat		
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope:	%/ 2.9	
	L at :	62.780588039		
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains				
Soil Map Unit Name:				NWI classification: PFO4B
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for t Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map	significantlnaturally pshowing san	y disturbed? roblematic?	Are "N (If nee	(If no, explain in Remarks.) lormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ eded, explain any answers in Remarks.) s, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🔍 I	Νο Ο	1-	the Com	wheel Avec
Hydric Soil Present? Yes 🔍 M		npled Area Vetland? Yes \odot No \bigcirc		
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔍	thin a W	etland? Fes \bigcirc No \bigcirc		
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plant	ts. List all spe Absolute		plot. Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum	% Cover		Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)
1. Picea mariana	30	\checkmark	FACW	
2.	0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
3.	0			Percent of dominant Species
4.	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
5.	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
Total C	Cover: 30			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	: <u>15</u> 20%	of Total Cover:	6	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$
1. Vaccinium uliginosum	10	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species $50 \times 2 = 100$
2. Betula nana	5		FAC	FAC Species $23 \times 3 = 69$
3. Vaccinium vitis-idaea	5		FAC	FACU Species $2.1 \times 4 = 8.4$
4. Ribes triste	1		FAC	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5. Salix pulchra	1		FACW	
6. Rhododendron groenlandicum	1		FAC	Column Totals: <u>75.1</u> (A) <u>177.4</u> (B)
7. Rosa acicularis	0.1		FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.362
8.	0		1/100	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.	0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.	0			✓ Prevalence Index is $≤ 3.0$
Total C				
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover		% of Total Cover	: 4.62	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. Equisetum pratense	10	\checkmark	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2. Rubus chamaemorus		\checkmark	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3. Petasites frigidus			FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4. Mertensia paniculata			FACU	
5 Carex bigelowii			FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>
6. Arctagrostis latifolia	1		FACW	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes _ <u>80</u> (Where applicable)
7				% Bare Ground
8.				Total Cover of Bryophytes 80
9.				
10.	0			Hydrophytic
Total C	-			Vegetation
50% of Total Cover:	<u> 11 </u> 20%	of Total Cover:	4.4	Present? Yes No
Remarks:				

	rofile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features						cators)						
(inches) Color (moist)		%	Color (moist)		%	<u>%</u> Type ¹		Texture	Remarks				
0-5			100						Fibric Organics				
5-7	10YR	4/2	100					-	Silt Loam	-			
7-11			85						Hemic Organics	thin mineral layers sa 5-7 mineral zon			
11-16	10YR	3/1	80	7.5YR	3/4	20	C	M	Fine Sandy Loam	Organics swirling throughout			
16-19	10YR	6/3	90		· _ ·				Fine Sand	10yr 2/2 swirls			
	1011	0/5			·					1091 2/2 3Willis			
			······ ·						·				
					·	-							
17.000		D. J. I.			2								
		=Depletio	n. RM=Redu						annel. M=Matrix				
Hydric Soil I					ors for Pro		4	oils:	r				
	r Histel (A1)				ka Color Cha		,		Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer				
				ka Alpine sw	•	,	Г	Other (Explain in Remarks)					
	Sulfide (A4)				ka Redox W		lue			N3)			
	k Surface (A12	2)		³ One ii	ndicator of h	nydrophyt	ic vegetati	on, one prir	mary indicator of wetland I	nydrology,			
Alaska Gie	eyed (A13)			and an	appropriate	e landscap	be position	must be pro	esent				
	eved Pores (A1	5)		⁴ Give o	letails of col	lor change	e in Remar	ks					
Restrictive Lay	ive layer (froze								Hudric Soil Procont	:? Yes 🖲 No 🔾			
Depth (inc		:1)							Hydric Soil Present				
Remarks:													
HYDROLO													
Wetland Hyd	ators (any one		nt)							icators (two or more are required)			
		15 Sumcle			undation Vis	sible on A	orial Image	ory (87)	Water Stained Leaves (B9)				
Surface Water (A1)							-	, , ,	 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) 				
	High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)							Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)					
Water Ma	. ,				drogen Sulf	• •	(C1)		Salt Depo				
	: Deposits (B2)				y-Season W				Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Drift Dep				_	her (Explain		``'						
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)									
Iron Dep	osits (B5)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)									
Surface S	Soil Cracks (B6))							✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observ	ations:												
Surface Wate	r Present?	Yes(🗅 No 🖲	De	epth (inches	s):							
Water Table I	Present?	Yes() No 🖲	De	epth (inches	s):		Wetla	etland Hydrology Present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodot$				
Saturation Pro (includes cap		Yes) No 🖲	De	epth (inches	s):							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:													
Remarks:													