## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough		Sampling Date	e: 30-Jul-12			
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Sampli	ng Point:	SW12_T05_01			
Investigator(s): CTS, EKJ	Landform (hil	side, terrace, humm	ocks etc.):	Channel (aba	andoned)			
Local relief (concave, convex, none): flat	Slope:	%/ <u>4</u> .1 ° Ele	evation: 513	3				
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	62.77998804	19 Long.:	-147.923645	5748	Datum: NAD83			
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI class	ification: PSS	1C			
	ar? Yes ntly disturbed? problematic?	<ul> <li>No (If</li> <li>Are "Normal Cire</li> <li>(If needed, exp</li> </ul>		' present? Y	es 💿 No 🔿 s.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $oldsymbol{igodol}$								
Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No 🖲		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$						
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes  No	W	within a Wetland?						

Remarks: Vegetated river bar/island

## **VEGETATION** - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		<b>4</b> h	solute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum			Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.			0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2.			0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
3.			0			
4.		_	0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0,0% (A/B)
5.						Prevalence Index worksheet:
	Total Cov		0			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0	_ 20% (	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species x 1 =
1.	Populus balsamifera		70	$\checkmark$	FACU	FACW Species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u>
2.	Salix alaxensis		15		FAC	FAC Species <u>20.2</u> x 3 = <u>60.60</u>
3.	Salix glauca		3		FAC	FACU Species 84 x 4 = 336
	Dasiphora fruticosa		0.1		FAC	UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500
5.	·		0			Column Totals: <u>104.3</u> (A) <u>397.1</u> (B)
			0			$\frac{104.5}{104.5}$ (A) <u>557.1</u> (B)
			0			Prevalence Index = B/A =3.807_
			0			
			0			Dominance Test is > 50%
		_	0			$\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0$
10.	 Total Cov	or	88.1			
Her	b Stratum50% of Total Cover:			of Total Cover:	17.62	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Artemisia tilesii		1		FACU	✓ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2.	Hedysarum alpinum		10	$\checkmark$	FACU	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Chamaenerion angustifolium		2		FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Solidago canadensis		0.1		UPL	
5.	Lupinus nootkatensis		1		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>
6.	Castilleja pallida		0.1		FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
7	Astragalus alpinus		2		FAC	% Bare Ground
8.			0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 0
			0			
10.			0			Undreadentia
10.	Total Cov	er:	16.2			Hydrophytic Vegetation
	50% of Total Cover:			of Total Cover:	3.24	Present? Yes No •
		0.1	0,00		J.27	

Remarks: riverine gravel bar with early successional FACU species. assume hydrophytic vegetation based on geomorphic position, fluvaquent soils.

SOL	L
001	

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) <b>Matrix Redox Features</b>						ators)						
Depth Matrix (inches) Color (moist) %					Loc 2	Texture	Remarks					
0-6	<u>Color (mo</u> 2.5Y	4/2	<u>%</u> 93	Color (m	ioist)	<u>%</u>	Type <sup>1</sup>	_Loc	Fine Sand	7% roots		
									Fine Sand			
	5Y	5/2	93		·	-	·			7% roots		
8-15	2.5Y	4/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	M	Fine Sand	layers of fine sand and sand interbedded als		
15-16	2.5Y	4/2	100						Sand	0% roots		
				-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-						
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletior	n. RM=Red	uced Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Location:	: PL=Pore	e Lining. RC	 C=Root Char	nnel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: <sup>3</sup>												
_	r Histel (A1)				ka Color Cha		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
Histosof of Histosof of	. ,				ka Alpine sv		,		Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)				ka Redox W	-		$\checkmark$	Other (Explain in Remarks)			
	<pre>surface (A12)</pre>											
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)							n, one prim nust be pre	ary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,		
🗌 Alaska Red	dox (A14)						•		Sent			
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	5)		<sup>4</sup> Give o	letails of co	lor change	e in Remarl	S				
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):											
Type:	· (   ···· /								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Depth (incl	nes):											
Remarks:												
*globs of organ	nics and roots,	5% roots										
			througho	ut matrix. ir	sufficient s	oil color fo	or redox de	velopment,	assume hydric soils.			
	CV											
HYDROLO Wetland Hydr		tore							Cocondon/ Indi	estars (two as more are required)		
	itors (any one i		nt)							cators (two or more are required) ned Leaves (B9)		
Surface W		5 Sumerer			undation Vis	sible on A	arial Image	rv (B7)	✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)			
	er Table (A2)				arsely Vege				<ul> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)</li> </ul>			
Saturation					arl Deposits		cuve Sund		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
Water Ma	. ,				drogen Sulf	• •	(C1)		Salt Depos			
	Deposits (B2)				y-Season W				Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Depo					her (Explain		• •			ic Position (D2)		
·	or Crust (B4)						(3)			uitard (D3)		
Iron Depo										raphic Relief (D4)		
·	oil Cracks (B6)								FAC-neutra			
Field Observa	. ,											
Surface Water		Yes 🤇	) No 🦲	De	pth (inches	s):						
Water Table P	Present?	Yes (	No 🖲	)	pth (inches			Wetlan	d Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾		
Saturation Pre												
(includes capi		Yes	🔾 No 🖲	) De	epth (inches	5):						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:												
Remarks:												
no wetland hyd	drology indicate	ors										