WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Annlina	'Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 06-Aug-12			
Applica	nt/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW12_T04_07			
	pator(s): CTS, EKJ		Landform (hills	ide, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Shoulder slope			
-	elief (concave, convex, none): convex		Slope:	% / 6.4	<u>-</u>			
Subrea	ion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:	63.453768207		Long.: -148.66104519 Datum: NAD83			
_	p Unit Name:		00.4007 00207		NWI classification: Upland			
	natic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this tir	~ of voc	? Yes (• No O	(If no, explain in Remarks.)			
		•	itly disturbed?		ormal Circumstances" present? Yes No No			
		•	problematic?		ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
SUMIN	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show		mpling point i	ocations	s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🔾 No 💽		le f	be Sam	pled Area			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No 🗨							
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🗨		ļ ,	hin a W	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Rema	rks: Sdet w lots of lichen in plot, scattered low and tal	l birch an	nd willow in large	er mappabl	e unit (could be Slobw but shrub cover likely <25%)			
VEGE	TATION -Use scientific names of plants. Li	st all sp	ecies in the p	olot.				
		Absolute	e Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
	Stratum	% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)			
1.		0	_ 📙		Total Number of Dominant			
2.		0	-		Species Across All Strata:3(B)			
3.		0	-		Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0	-		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)			
5.	Total Cover:	0	_		Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	I Utai Cover.		Total 0/2 Cover et Multiply by					
San	50% of Total Cover	201	~ % of Total Cover:	0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
	ing/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		% of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species 0 x1 = 0			
1.	Betula nana	20	~	FAC	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12			
1.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens	20 15	_ V	FAC FACU	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96			
1. 2. 3.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus	20 15 15		FACU FACU	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120			
1. 2. 3. 4.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum	20 15 15 10	V V V O O O O O O O O O O	FACU FACU FACU	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x 5 = 15			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum	20 15 15 10 6		FACU FACU FACU FAC	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica	20 15 15 10 6 2		FACU FACU FACU FACU FACW UPL	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x 5 = 15			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20 15 15 10 6		FACU FACU FACU FAC	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x 5 = 15 Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20 15 15 10 6 2		FACU FACU FACU FACU FACW UPL	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x 5 = 15 Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20 15 15 10 6 2 1		FACU FACU FACU FACU FACW UPL	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x 2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x 3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x 5 = 15 Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0		FACU FACU FACU FACW UPL FAC	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0		FACU FACU FACU FACW UPL FAC	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her l	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 0 69 2	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU FACU FACU FACW UPL FAC	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Herr 1. 2.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 34.5 20	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1 1. 2. 3.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 2 34.5 20 1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Herl 1. 2. 3. 4.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 2 1 1 1 0	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) $10m$ % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 0			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her l 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 0 4 69 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 x1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x5 = 15 Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 0 (Where applicable)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Herri 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 34.5 20 1 1 1 0 0 0	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 x1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x5 = 15 Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 0 (Where applicable)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Herl 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 x1 = 0 FACW Species 6 x2 = 12 FAC Species 32 x3 = 96 FACU Species 30 x4 = 120 UPL Species 3 x5 = 15 Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 0 (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 5 Total Cover of Bryophytes 2			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Herl 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9.	Betula nana Loiseleuria procumbens Arctous alpinus Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Diapensia lapponica Vaccinium vitis-idaea Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex microchaeta	20 15 15 10 6 2 1 0 0 0 69 2 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	FAC FACU FACU FAC FACW UPL FAC 13.8	OBL Species 0 $x 1 = 0$ FACW Species 6 $x 2 = 12$ FAC Species 32 $x 3 = 96$ FACU Species 30 $x 4 = 120$ UPL Species 3 $x 5 = 15$ Column Totals: 71 (A) 243 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.423 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW12_T04_07

Profile Descripti	ion: (Describe to t	he denth ne	eded to docu	ment the indicator or cor	nfirm the al	nsence of indic	ators)		110mm. 01112_104_07		
		latrix	eucu to docu		inin the at		altisj				
Depth (inches)	Color (moi	st)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-2	10YR	2/2	85					Sandy Loam	10% roots 5% semiangular gravel		
2-6	10YR	3/3	90					Loamy Sand	few roots, 10% semiang gravel		
6-9	10YR	3/4	90					Sandy Loam	semiangular gravel and cobbles		
9-16	10YR	3/2	85					Loamy Sand	semiang grvl-cobbles, co sand		
16-19	10YR	2/1	90					Loamy Sand	semiang grvl-cobbles, co sand		
	10111							, , , , ,	Semang grv cossics, co sund		
								-			
								-			
¹ Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduc	ced Matrix ² Location	: PL=Por	re Lining. RC	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pro	oblemati	ic Hydric So	oils: ³				
Histosol or	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	iange (TA	(4)		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	wales (TA	15)		Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox W	Vith 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remarl	(S)		
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)			3 One indicator of	bydrophy	tic vogotatio	n one prim	nary indicator of wetland h	wdralogy		
Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)			and an appropriate					iyarology,		
Alaska Red	` '			⁴ Give details of co	olor chanc	no in Domark	c				
☐ Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A15)		Give details of co	nor charig	ge iii Kemark					
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):										
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ○ No •		
Depth (inch	nes):										
Remarks:											
no hydric soil ir	ndicators										
HYDROLO	GV										
Wetland Hydi		ors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
=	tors (any one is)					Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface W				Inundation Vi	isible on /	Aerial Imager	v (B7)		Patterns (B10)		
	er Table (A2)	Sparsely Vege		_			hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)				
Saturation				Marl Deposits			,		of Reduced Iron (C4)		
☐ Water Ma	rks (B1)			Hydrogen Sul	. ,	· (C1)		Salt Depos	its (C5)		
Sediment	Deposits (B2)			☐ Dry-Season V				Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)		
☐ Drift Depo	osits (B3)			Other (Explain				Geomorph	ic Position (D2)		
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)			_ 、 '		,		Shallow Ac	quitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Depo	osits (B5)							Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)								al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	ations:										
Surface Water	r Present?	Yes C	No 💿	Depth (inches	s):						
Water Table P	Present?	Yes C	No •	Depth (inche	s):		Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes O No 💿		
Saturation Pre		Ves (No •	Depth (inche	•						
(includes capi	llary fringe)	103 0	110 0	Берит (піспе:							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											
no wetland hyd	drology indicato	rs									

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0