WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 06-Aug-12			
Applica	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			-	Sampling Point: SW12_T04_01			
	gator(s): CTS, EKJ		Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Knob					
	relief (concave, convex, none): convex		Slope:	% / 13.				
	gion: Interior Alaska Mountains	L at :	· —					
		Lat	·					
	ap Unit Name:		- \	<u> </u>	NWI classification: Upland			
	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this tir	•		No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.) Ormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○			
		•	y disturbed?		omar on our location process.			
Are V	/egetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ r	naturally pi	roblematic?	(If nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
SUMI	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	ving san	npling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○	1						
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●	ı	Is the Sampled Area					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No •		within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ●					
Rema	arks: Top of terminal moraine, dwarf ericaceous tundra		here are veget	ated micro	-swales, otherwise partially vegetated			
	ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
1.	e Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)			
					Total Number of Dominant			
2.					Species Across All Strata:3 (B)			
3.					Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66,7% (A/B)			
4. 5.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7% (A/B)			
Э.	Total Covers	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
6	Total Cover: Soling Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		of Total Cover:	0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	oling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	_	0	OBL Species 0 x 1 = 0			
	Salix arctica	10	✓	FACU	FAC Species 8 x 2 = 16			
2.	Arctous alpinus	6		FACU	FACUS pacies 41 x 3 = 123			
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum		✓	FAC	FACU Species 21 x 4 = 84			
4.	Betula nana	10	✓	FAC	UPL Species <u>5.1</u> x 5 = <u>25.5</u>			
_								
5.	Rhododendron tomentosum	8		FACW	Column Totals:75.1 (A)248.5 (B)			
6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	8		FACW	Column Totals: <u>75.1</u> (A) <u>248.5</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.309</u>			
6. 7.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens	8 8		FACW FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309			
6. 7. 8.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis	8		FACW FAC FACU UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
6. 7. 8. 9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica	8 8 3 4 1		FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50%			
6. 7. 8. 9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum	8 8 3 4 1 2		FACW FAC FACU UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica	8 8 3 4 1 2 72	G of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50%			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	8 8 3 4 1 2 72	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 20%	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 209	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 20% 1 2 0.1	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 20% 1 2 0.1	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 20% 1 2 0.1 0	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 20% 1 2 0.1 0 0	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A =3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width)			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 209 1 2 0.1 0 0	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL UPL UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A =3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width)			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 209 1 2 0.1 0 0 0	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL UPL UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A =3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 60			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 209 1 2 0.1 0 0 0	6 of Total Cover	FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL UPL UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 2 Hydrophytic			
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas ajanensis Diapensia lapponica Empetrum nigrum Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex microchaeta Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Oxytropis nigrescens var. nigrescens	8 8 3 4 1 2 72 36 20% 1 2 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FACU UPL UPL FAC 14.4 FAC UPL UPL UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A =3.309 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 2			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW12_T04_01

Profile Descripti			eded to docu	ment the indicator or cor			cators)						
Depth (inches)		Matrix ·			dox Featu		. 2	- Texture	Domarke				
(inches)	Color (mo	ist)	<u>%</u> _	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Type ¹	<u>Loc</u> 2	Fibric Organics	Remarks				
0-1	10/0				-								
1-2		3/2	95					Sandy Loam	5% roots				
2-8	2.5Y	3/2	100		- ——			Sandy Loam	few roots				
8-12	10YR	2/2	100					Sandy Loam	little black concretions				
12-18	10YR	3/2	90					Sandy Loam	10% ang to semiround grvl				
								-					
								-					
¹Type: C=Cor	¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix												
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric So	oils: ³						
Histosol or	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	nange (TA	4 4)		Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder					
Histic Epip	` '			Alaska Alpine s	wales (TA!	5)		Underlying Layer					
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y I	Hue		Other (Explain in Remarks)					
☐ Thick Dark	Surface (A12)	J		•									
Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)			³ One indicator of and an appropriat	hydrophyt e landscar	tic vegetation in	on, one prin	mary indicator of wetland hesent	ydrology,				
Alaska Red	dox (A14)				•	•	•	cocine					
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	5)		⁴ Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remark	(S						
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):												
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ○ No •				
Depth (inch	nes):												
HYDROLO	GY												
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)				
Primary Indica	tors (any one i	s sufficient	<u>:)</u>					Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)				
Surface W	/ater (A1)			☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Wate	High Water Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)					
	Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)					
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Salt Deposits (C5)					
	Deposits (B2)			☐ Dry-Season V					Stressed Plants (D1)				
☐ Drift Depo				Uther (Explai	n in Rema	rks)			ic Position (D2)				
	or Crust (B4)								juitard (D3)				
☐ Iron Depo	. ,							_	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)				
Field Observa	oil Cracks (B6)							FAC-fleutra	ii Test (D3)				
Surface Water		Yes (No •	Depth (inche	e).								
			No •	• •	•		\\\ -41	nd Hadralana Brass	t? Yes ○ No •				
Water Table P		_	_	Depth (inche	s):		wetiai	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes O NO S				
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes C	No 💿	Depth (inche	s):								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:													
Remarks:													
No wetland hyd	drology indicate	ors											
ino modana ny	arology marcace												

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0