WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

منامم۸	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Denali Bo	orough Sampling Date: 30-Jul-13			
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T212_02			
	igator(s): SLI, EAC		Landform (hil	lside, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Swale			
	relief (concave, convex, none): concave		-	lope: 0.0 % / 0.0 ° Elevation: 674				
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	l at ·	63.38078665					
	ap Unit Name:	Lut	03.30070003	NWI classification: PEM1E				
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	ima af vaa	2 Voc	● No ○				
			tly disturbed?		(If no, explain in Remarks.) Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○			
			oroblematic?		eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
	• •							
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho	wing sai	mpling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No C			41	J. J.A.			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No C			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No No				
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No C		W	within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○				
Ren	narks: small areas of PUBH (may not be visible in aeri	al) variet	v of water regi	mes hut nre	edominantly F			
	Sindi dieds of Fobri (may not be visible in deli	ar). Variet	y or water regii	nes, but pre	edominantly L.			
VEG	ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all sp	ecies in the	plot.				
		Absolute	e Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tre	ee Stratum	% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)			
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:5(A) Total Number of Dominant			
2.		0			Species Across All Strata:5(B)			
3.		0	_ 🖳		Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
5.		0	_		Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:					
Sa	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 209	% of Total Cover	:0	OBL Species <u>64.2</u> x 1 = <u>64.2</u>			
1.	Andromeda polifolia (IAM)	3	✓	OBL	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2			
2.	Betula nana	1	_	FAC	FAC Species x 3 = 3			
	Betula nana Salix fuscescens	1	- —	FACW	FACU Species			
	Colin funcciona	1	✓					
3.	Salix fuscescens	0	V		FACU Species			
3. 4.	Salix fuscescens	0			FACU Species 0 $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $\times 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B)			
3. 4. 5.	Salix fuscescens	0 0			FACU Species $0 \times 4 = 0$ UPL Species $0.1 \times 5 = 0.500$			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix fuscescens	0 0 0 0			FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $x 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens	0 0 0 0 0			FACU Species 0 $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $\times 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix fuscescens	0 0 0 0 0 0			FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $x 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens Total Cover	1 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $x 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Total Cover Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $x 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Salix fuscescens Total Cover **P Stratum** Eriophorum angustifolium **Operation of Total Cover:	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 2.5 20	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW FACW OBL	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $x 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Total Cover rb Stratum Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0.1 $x 5 = 0.500$ Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3.	Salix fuscescens Total Cover rb Stratum Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30 20	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Total Cover Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis Carex topuifless	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30 20 3	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW FACW OBL OBL	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4.	Salix fuscescens Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30 20 3	✓ ✓ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	FACW FACW TO BL OBL OBL UPL	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis Carex tenuiflora	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30 20 3 0.1 3	✓ ✓ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	FACW	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Total Cover Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis Carex tenuiflora Carex loliacea	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30 20 3 0.1 5	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW ST. 1 OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL OB	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix fuscescens Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis Carex tenuiflora Carex loliacea Carex aquatilis var. dives	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 2.5 20 20 3 0.1 3 0.1 5	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW FACW	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 90			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis Carex tenuiflora Carex loliacea Carex aquatilis var. dives Carex magellanica	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 2.5 20 20 3 0.1 3 0.1 5	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACW FACW	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground 90			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover stratum Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Menyanthes trifoliata Carex glacialis Carex tenuiflora Carex loliacea Carex aquatilis var. dives Carex magellanica	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.5 20 30 20 3 0.1 3 0.1 5 0.1 0 0	w w w w w w w w w w	FACW	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0.1 x 5 = 0.500 Column Totals: 66.3 (A) 69.7 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.051 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T212_02

		the depth ne	eded to docum	ment the indicator or co	onfirm the ab		cators)			
Depth (inches)						Type ¹	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks	
0-4	Color (mo	3/3	<u>%</u>	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	1 ype	LOC	Fibric Organics	Remarks	
4-22	10YR							Hemic Organics		
							-	-		
							-			
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D	=Depletion.	. RM=Reduce	ed Matrix ² Location				nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			Indicators for Pi	roblemati	c Hydric So	oils: ³			
✓ Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Change (TA4)				Alaska Gleyed Without Hu	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	swales (TA	5)		Underlying Layer Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox N	With 2.5Y I	s)				
Thick Dark	Surface (A12	<u>'</u>)		· · · ·						
Alaska Gle	yed (A13)			³ One indicator of and an appropria				nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,	
Alaska Red						•		eseni		
Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A1	.5)		⁴ Give details of c	olor chang	e in Remark	(S			
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):	:								
Type: activ	e layer							Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ● No O	
Depth (inch	es): 22									
saturated throu	ghout profile									
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hydr		ators:						Secondary Indic	cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicat	tors (any one	is sufficient	τ)						ned Leaves (B9)	
✓ Surface W	ater (A1)			☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Wate	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
✓ Saturation	ı (A3)			Marl Deposit	is (B15)				f Reduced Iron (C4)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Su	ılfide Odor	(C1)		Salt Deposi	its (C5)	
Sediment	Deposits (B2))		Dry-Season	Water Tabl	le (C2)			Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Depo	sits (B3)			Other (Expla	in in Rema	ırks)		✓ Geomorphi		
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)							✓ Shallow Aq		
Iron Depo	sits (B5)								graphic Relief (D4)	
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6))						✓ FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)	
Field Observa	tions:	C	`							
Surface Water	Present?	_	No 🔾	Depth (inche	es): 4				-	
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🧿	No 🔾	Depth (inche	es): 0		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 💿 No 🔾	
Saturation Pre		VAS (No O	Depth (inche	oc). 0					
(includes capil				• •			9-1-1			
Describe Record	ded Data (stre	eam gauge,	monitor wei	ll, aerial photos, pre	vious inspe	ection) ir ava	ailable:			
Remarks:										

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0