## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Denali Borough	Sampling Date:	31-Jul-13		
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		San	npling Point: S	W13_T205_01		
Investigator(s): SLI, EAC	Landform (hil	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside				
Local relief (concave, convex, none):Convex	Slope: 10.5	6.0 ° Elevation:	720			
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.: 63.37103009	2 Long.: -148.787	419915 E	Datum: WGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI cla	assification: Uplan	d		
	e of year? Yes nificantly disturbed? turally problematic?	No (If no, explain Are "Normal Circumstance (If needed, explain any and				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showin	ng sampling point	locations, transects, imp	portant features,	etc.		
Lludraphytic Vegetation Dresent2 Veg (•) No ()						

	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ○ Yes ○	_	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$				
Remarks: lichen-rich slobe on small hillside.									

## **VEGETATION** - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		Absolute		Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tre	e Stratum	<u>%</u> C		Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.	Picea glauca		5	$\checkmark$	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A)		
2.			0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)		
3.			0			Percent of dominant Species		
4.			0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)		
5.			0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
Total Cover:		• _	5			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	2.5	20% (	of Total Cover:	1	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1	Betula glandulosa		25		FAC	FACW Species 7 x 2 = 14		
	Vaccinium uliginosum		20	$\checkmark$	FAC	FAC Species 50.1 x 3 = 150.3		
	Vaccinium vitis-idaea		3		FAC	FACU Species <u>5.2</u> x 4 = <u>20.8</u>		
4.	Ledum decumbens		7		FACW	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0		
5.	Empetrum nigrum		0.1		FAC	Column Totals: 62.3 (A) 185.1 (B)		
6.	Spiraea stevenii		0.1		FACU			
7.			0			Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.971</u>		
			0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9.			0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
			0			✓ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0		
	Total Cover		5.2			$\Box$ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	27.6	20%	of Total Cover:	11.04	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Cornus canadensis		0.1		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
2.	Festuca altaica		2	$\checkmark$	FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum		0.1		FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.			0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>		
			0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes		
6.			0			(Where applicable)		
7.			0			% Bare Ground _5		
8.			0			Total Cover of Bryophytes		
9.			0					
			0			Hydrophytic		
	Total Cover	Vegetation						
	50% of Total Cover:	1.1	20% (	of Total Cover:	0.44	Present? Yes  No		
Rem	Remarks: 85% lichen cover including stereocaulon (by far the dominant), cetraria, cladina spp, masonhallia richardsonii							

## SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)          Matrix       Redox Features												
Depth Matrix (inches) Color (moist) %								Texture	Remarks			
0-2	<u>Color (mo</u> 5YR	2.5/1	<u>%</u>	Color (m	ioist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	LOC	Sandy Loam	w high organic content		
							·		Silt Loam			
	5YR	4/1			·	B			-			
3-7	10YR	4/4	70	10YR	3/4	30	C	M	Loam			
7-20	10YR	4/2	90	5YR	2.5/2	10	C	M	Silt Loam	subrounded gravels-cobbles, 25%		
					·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletior	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Location:	PL=Pore	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	blematic	: Hydric S	oils <sup>3</sup>				
_	r Histel (A1)				ka Color Cha		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
	edon (A2)				ka Alpine sw		,		Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)				ka Redox W				Other (Explain in Remark	(Explain in Remarks)		
	< Surface (A12)	)										
Alaska Gle		, 							nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,		
🗌 Alaska Ree				and an	appropriate	andscap	e position	must be pre	esent			
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A1	5)		<sup>4</sup> Give c	letails of col	or change	e in Remar	ks				
Restrictive Laye	or (if precent):	-										
Type:	ei (ii present).								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
Depth (incl	nes).								Hydric Soli Present			
	103)1											
Remarks: no hydric soil indicators. patches (4-7in diameter) of translocated iron and manganese nodules and concretions. not a well developed deposition layer - developing spodosol?												
HYDROLO												
Wetland Hyd										cators (two or more are required)		
·	tors (any one	s sufficier	nt)					()		ned Leaves (B9)		
Surface V					undation Vis		5	, , ,	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
	er Table (A2)				arsely Vege		cave Surfa	ice (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
Saturation	. ,				arl Deposits	• •	(61)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Salt Deposits (C5)			
	Deposits (B2)				drogen Sulf							
	,				y-Season W her (Explain		( )		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Geomorphic Position (D2)			
	or Crust (B4)				ner (Explain	in Remar	KS)			uitard (D3)		
	. ,								_	graphic Relief (D4)		
·	oil Cracks (B6)									ll Test (D5)		
Field Observa	、											
Surface Wate		Yes	No 🖲	De	epth (inches	<u>۱</u> .						
						•		Watla	nd Hydrology Drocon	t? Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
Water Table F				De	epth (inches	):		wetial	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes 🤇	🔿 No 🖲	De	epth (inches	):						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:												
Remarks:												
no hydric soil i	ndicators											