WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		orough/City:	Denali Bo	orough Sampling Date: 06-Aug-13			
	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point: SW13_T17					
nvesti	igator(s): WAD, RWM		Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): moraine					
_ocal :	relief (concave, convex, none): undulating		Slope: 5.2 % / 3.0 ° Elevation: 1026					
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains		63.365549803		Long.: -148.568328142 Datum: WGS84			
	ap Unit Name:		00.0000+0000	<u>, </u>	NWI classification: Upland			
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this tir	ma of voor	? Yes	● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)			
Are \	Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ s Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ r MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	significantly naturally pr ving sam	y disturbed? oblematic?	Are "N (If nee	lormal Circumstances" present? Yes No deded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
	() () () () () () () () () ()		Is the Sampled Area					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ●					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No)						
	narks: ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. Lis	st all spe	cies in the		Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tre	ee Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)			
1.		0			Total Number of Dominant			
2.		0			Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)			
3.					Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)			
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover:				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species			
1.	Vaccinium uliginosum	-						
		5	✓	FAC	FACW Species 0 x 2 = 0			
2.	-		V	FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18			
	Loiseleuria procumbens	8	y		FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36			
	Loiseleuria procumbens		V	FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18			
3.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0		FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36			
3. 4.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0 0		FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B)			
3. 4. 5.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0 0		FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0 0		FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0 0 0 0		FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50%			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACU	FAC Species 6 $\times 3 = 18$ FACU Species 9 $\times 4 = 36$ UPL Species 2 $\times 5 = 10$ Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G of Total Cover	FACU	FAC Species 6 $\times 3 = 18$ FACU Species 9 $\times 4 = 36$ UPL Species 2 $\times 5 = 10$ Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.765$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala Total Cover:	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU	FAC Species 6 $\times 3 = 18$ FACU Species 9 $\times 4 = 36$ UPL Species 2 $\times 5 = 10$ Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala Total Cover: rb Stratum Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7.5 20%	G of Total Cover	FACU UPL	FAC Species 6 $\times 3 = 18$ FACU Species 9 $\times 4 = 36$ UPL Species 2 $\times 5 = 10$ Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.765$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is $> 50\%$ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala Total Cover: rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7.5 20%	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL : 3 FACU	FAC Species 6 $\times 3 = 18$ FACU Species 9 $\times 4 = 36$ UPL Species 2 $\times 5 = 10$ Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala Total Cover: rb Stratum Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 7.5 20%	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL : 3 FACU	FAC Species 6 $\times 3 = 18$ FACU Species 9 $\times 4 = 36$ UPL Species 2 $\times 5 = 10$ Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.765$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is $> 50\%$ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Loiseleuria procumbens Dryas octopetala Total Cover: rb Stratum Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 7.5 20%	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL : 3 FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Total Cover: Solve of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	8 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 7.5 20%	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL STATE OF THE PROPERTY	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover: Total Cover: Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	7.5 20% 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL : 3 FACU	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover: Total Cover: Solve of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	7.5 20% 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL STATE OF THE PROPERTY	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover: Total Cover: Solve of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	7.5 20% 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL STATE OF THE PROPERTY	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover: Total Cover: Solve of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex bigelowii	7.5 20% 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	✓ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	FACU UPL STATE OF THE PROPERTY	FAC Species 6 x 3 = 18 FACU Species 9 x 4 = 36 UPL Species 2 x 5 = 10 Column Totals: 17 (A) 64 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.765 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T174_08

	•	the depth ne	eded to docu	cument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features							
Depth (inches)	Color (me		%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks		
05	COIOI (IIII	JISC)	100	Color (Illoist)		Туре	LOC	Fibric Organics	To the state of th		
.5-5	7.5YR	2.5/3	100					Fine Sand	with rock fragments and organics		
-			-					-	with rock fragments and organics		
5-11			100					Sand	90 percent coarse fragments angular		
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D		RM=Reduc	ced Matrix ² Locatio	n: PL=Por	– ——— e Lining. RC	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
				Indicators for P							
Hydric Soil In						4	olis:				
	Histosol or Histel (A1)				Alaska Color Change (TA4)				☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer		
Histic Epipe	Histic Epipedon (A2)				swales (TA	-					
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox	With 2.5Y I	Hue		U Other (Explain in Remarks)			
	Surface (A12)		3 One indicator of	f hydronhyd	ric vegetatio	n one nrin	nary indicator of wetland h	avdrology		
Alaska Gley				and an appropria					rydrology,		
Alaska Red	` '	_,		4 Give details of o	olor chang	e in Remark	'S				
☐ Alaska Gley	ed Pores (A1	5)		GIVE details of e	olor chang	e iii iteiriari					
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):										
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ○ No •		
Depth (inch	es):										
HYDROLOG	ay .										
Wetland Hydr		ators:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indicat)					Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface W				☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)			
	High Water Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3			
Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposit			30 (30)		of Reduced Iron (C4)			
☐ Water Mar	. ,			Hydrogen Su	,	(C1)		Salt Depos	sits (C5)		
	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season					Stressed Plants (D1)		
☐ Drift Depo				Other (Expla					ic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)			outlier (Explo					quitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Depos									graphic Relief (D4)		
	il Cracks (B6))							al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	tions:										
Surface Water	Present?	Yes $^{\circ}$	No 💿	Depth (inche	es):						
Water Table Pi	esent?	Yes C	No •	Depth (inch	-c).		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes O No 💿		
Saturation Pres	sent?				,			, ,,			
(includes capill		Yes ∪	No •	Depth (inche	es):						
Describe Record	led Data (stre	eam gauge,	monitor we	ell, aerial photos, pre	vious inspe	ection) if ava	ailable:				
Remarks:											
no hydrology in	dicators obse	rved									

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0