WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampli	ng Date: 03-Aug-13						
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point	t:						
Investigator(s): BAB	Landform (hill:	side, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillsid	e						
Local relief (concave, convex, none): rolling	Slope: 17.6	% / 10.0 ° Elevation: 1105							
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat .:	63.163876030	6 Long.: -148.26384997	Datum: WGS84						
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: Upland								
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? Are vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? Are vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic?									
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	mpling point	locations, transects, important fe	eatures, etc.						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ○ Yes ○	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{igodol}$
Remarks:			

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

٨		Abso	luto	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species			
1.			0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)			
2.		-	0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)			
3.			0						
4.			0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>75.0%</u> (A/B)			
5.		-	0						
Total Cover:		0			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:				
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0	20% (	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$			
1.	Betula nana		60	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species $15$ x 2 = $30$			
2.	Vaccinium uliginosum		40	$\checkmark$	FAC	FAC Species <u>120.2</u> x 3 = <u>360.6</u>			
	Lodum documbons		10		FACW	FACU Species 3 x 4 = 12			
	Spiraea stevenii		1		FACU	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$			
	Empetrum nigrum	_	10		FAC	Column Totals: 138.2 (A) 402.6 (B)			
6	Vessinium vitis ideas		5		FAC	Column Totals: <u>138.2</u> (A) <u>402.6</u> (B)			
7.	Salix pulchra	_	5		FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A =			
8.		_	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
			0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
			0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
	Total Cover	- 1	131			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in			
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 65.5			20% of Total Cover: 26.2			Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1.	Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	_	2	$\checkmark$	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
2.	Festuca altaica		5	$\checkmark$	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3.	Calamagrostis canadensis		0.1 FAC		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4.	Carex podocarpa		0.1		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
5.			0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
6.		_	0			(Where applicable)			
			0			% Bare Ground10			
			0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 15			
			0						
			0			Hydrophytic			
	Total Cover:		7.2			Vegetation			
	50% of Total Cover:	3.6	20% (	of Total Cover:	1.44	Present? Yes $\bullet$ No $\bigcirc$			
Remarks:									

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)    Matrix Redox Features												
Depth — (inches)	Color (moi	st)	%	Color (n	noist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-5									Fibric Organics			
5-20	10YR	3/3	80	10YR	5/3	20			Silt Loam	20% inclusions. semi ang gra	vel to cobbles	
				1011	5,5						IVEI to cobbies	
										-		
										-		
							-		- s	_		
¹ Type: C=Concer	stration D-	Doplation	DM-Doduc	od Matrix	2 Location		Lining D		nnol M-Matrix			
Type: C=Concer		Depletion.	RM=Reduc				-					
Hydric Soil Indi	cators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	oblematio	Hydric S	ioils:	_			
Histosol or His	stel (A1)			Alas	ka Color Ch	ange (TA4	ł)		Alaska Gleyed Without	Hue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epipedo	on (A2)			Alas	ka Alpine sv	vales (TA5	5)	_	Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen Sul	fide (A4)			Alas	ka Redox W	/ith 2.5Y F	lue		Other (Explain in Rema	rks)		
Thick Dark Su	rface (A12)			3 One i	adicator of	huduon hut	ia voastati		non indicator of watland	hudrology		
Alaska Gleyed	(A13)							must be pri	nary indicator of wetland esent	пуштоюду,		
Alaska Redox	(A14)						•					
Alaska Gleyed	Pores (A15	5)		+ Give (	details of co	lor change	e in Remar	KS				
Restrictive Layer (i	f present):											
Туре:									Hydric Soil Presen	t? Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
Depth (inches)	:								•			
Remarks:								1				
no hydric soil indic	ators observ	ved										
		- Cu										
HYDROLOG												
Wetland Hydrolo										licators (two or more are re	auired)	
Primary Indicators		s sufficient)							Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface Wate					undation Vi		5	, , ,				
High Water T					arsely Vege		cave Surfa	ice (B8)		Rhizospheres along Living R	oots (C3)	
Saturation (A	,				arl Deposits	` '				of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Marks					drogen Sul				Salt Deposits (C5)			
Sediment Dep					y-Season W		. ,		_	or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Geomorphic Position (D2)												
Algal Mat or (										quitard (D3)		
Iron Deposits	. ,								_	ographic Relief (D4)		
Surface Soil C									FAC-neut	ral Test (D5)		
Field Observatio		Yes 〇		~	anth (in the							
Surface Water Pro				De	epth (inches	5):					\	
Water Table Pres		Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🔍	De	epth (inches	5):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Prese	nt? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	)	
Saturation Preser (includes capillary		Yes 🔿	No 🖲	De	epth (inches	5):						
Describe Recorded	Data (strea	am gauge, i	nonitor we	ell, aerial p	hotos, prev	ious inspe	ction) if av	ailable:				
Remarks:												
no wetland hydrology indicators observed												