WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling	Date: 06-Aug-13
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point:	SW13_T161_05
Investigator(s): BAB	Landform (hill	side, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside	
Local relief (concave, convex, none): rolling	Slope: 26.7	% / 15.0 ° Elevation: 1344	
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.: 63.329961430	Long.: -148.512443304	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classification:	Upland
	e of year? Yes nificantly disturbed? turally problematic?	 No (If no, explain in Remarks. Are "Normal Circumstances" present? (If needed, explain any answers in Rem 	ÝYes 🔍 No 🔾
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showir	ng sampling point	locations, transects, important feat	ures, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes () Yes () Yes ()	No 💿 No 💿 No 💿	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes \bigcirc No \bigcirc
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

٨٥		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tree	e Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species			
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)			
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)			
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)			
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover:	0			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$			
1.	Salix polaris	10	\checkmark	FACW	FACW Species <u>18</u> x 2 = <u>36</u>			
2.	Dryas octopetala	15	\checkmark	UPL	FAC Species <u>3.2</u> x 3 = <u>9.6</u>			
3.	Cassiope tetragona	15	\checkmark	FACU	FACU Species 22.1 x 4 = 88.40			
4.	· · · · · ·	0			UPL Species <u>15.2</u> x 5 = 76.00			
5.		0			Column Totals: <u>58.5</u> (A) <u>210.0</u> (B)			
-		-						
7.		0			Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.590</u>			
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
		0			Dominance Test is > 50%			
		0			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
	Total Cover:	40			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in			
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		20% of Total Cover: 8 Remarks or on a separate sheet)					
1.	Artemisia norvegica	1		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
2.	Antennaria monocephala	0.1		UPL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3.	Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	6	\checkmark	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4.	Campanula lasiocarpa	0.1		UPL	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
5.	Luzula arctica	3		FAC				
6.	Poa alpina	0.1		FACU	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
7.	Trisetum spicatum	0.1		FAC	% Bare Ground _5			
8.	Gentiana glauca	0.1		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes50			
9.	Dodecatheon frigidum	4	\checkmark	FACW				
10.	Carex atrofusca	4	\checkmark	FACW	Hydrophytic			
	Total Cover:	18.5			Vegetation			
	50% of Total Cover:9	.25 20%	of Total Cover:	3.7	Present? Yes No 🔍			
Rem	Remarks: Bryophytes mostly lichen 35%. 15% moss. arnles trace							

Profile Descripti Depth		the depth n Matrix	eeded to docu	ment the indicator or co Re	nfirm the at dox Featu		cators)	_		
(inches)	Color (mo	ist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-2			100					Hemic Organics		
2-8	2.5Y	3/2	100				-	Silt Loam	semi ang to angular gravel and cobbles	
8-18	2.5Y	3/2	100		_			Loamy Sand	semi ang to angular gravel and cobbles	
								-		
¹ Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletion	. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix ² Location	n: PL=Por	re Lining. R	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for P	oblemati	ic Hydric S	oils: ³			
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color C	hange (TA	(4) 4] Alaska Gleyed Without H	lue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	wales (TA	.5)	Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox	Nith 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remar	ks)	
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)			3 One indicator of	bydropby	tic voqetativ	on one prin	nary indicator of wetland	bydrology	
Alaska Gle				and an appropria	te landsca	pe position	must be pre	esent	rydrology,	
Alaska Rec				⁴ Give details of c	olor chanc	ie in Remarl	ks			
Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A15)								
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):									
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	t? Yes 🔿 No 🖲	
Depth (inch	nes):									
Remarks:										
no hydric soil in	ndicators									
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:							icators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica		s sufficien	t)					_	ined Leaves (B9)	
Surface Water (A1)				Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			Drainage Patterns (B10)			
	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			ice (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)		
Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Salt Deposits (C5)			
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfid			Suifide Odor (C1) Sait Deposits (C5) N Water Table (C2) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)							
	,			Other (Expla		()		Geomorphic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)								quitard (D3)	
Iron Depo								Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)							FAC-neutr	al Test (D5)	
Field Observa	ations:	_								
Surface Water	Present?	Yes 🤇	No 🖲	Depth (inche	es):					
Water Table P	Present?	Yes 🤇) No 🖲	Depth (inche	es):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes C) No 🖲	Depth (inche	es):					
Describe Recor	Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:									
Remarks:										
no wetland hyd	Irology indicate	ors observe	ed							