WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Projec	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	В	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Jul-13		
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T131_07		
	gator(s): SLI, SCB	e, hummocks etc.): Shoreline					
Local	relief (concave, convex, none): flat				° Elevation: 1040		
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	l at ·	 62.981305		Long.: -148.261 Datum: WGS84		
			02.90 1303				
	ap Unit Name: matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti		0 V	■ N= ○	NWI classification: PEM1E		
Are \	/egetation , Soil , or Hydrology , egetation , Soil , or Hydrology . MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho	significantly naturally pr wing sam	y disturbed? roblematic?	Are "N (If nee	lormal Circumstances" present? Yes No eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)		
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No No No No No No No No N		Is	the Sam	pled Area		
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No No			within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No C)	•	a **	ottaria:		
	narks: lakeshore wet sedge meadow. lac fringe (confinence) ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. L				Dominance Test worksheet:		
T	a Churchura	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.	e Stratum	0		Status	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)		
2.					Total Number of Dominant		
3.					Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)		
4.					Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)		
5.		0					
	Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sai	oling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		of Total Cover:	0	0.00		
					7012		
	Salix pulchra			FACW			
2.		_			FAC Species 5.1 x3 = 15.3 FACU Species 0 x4 = 0		
3. 4.					UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0		
5.							
6.	-	_		-	Column Totals: <u>76.2</u> (A) <u>87.4</u> (B)		
7.		0			Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.147		
0					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9.					✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
	Total Cover b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		6 of Total Cover	: 0.2	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Carex aquatilis	60	✓	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2.	Calamagrostis canadensis			FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Comarum palustre	10		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.	Polemonium acutiflorum	0.1		FAC	Plot size (radius or length y width)		
5.	Equisetum fluviatile	0.1		OBL	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m Cover of Wetland Bryophytes		
6.	Hippuris vulgaris	0.1		OBL	(Where applicable)		
7.					% Bare Ground5		
					Total Cover of Bryophytes		
9.							
10					Hydrophytic		
10.		: 75.3			Vegetation		
10.	Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: _ <u>3</u>		of Total C	45.00	Present? Yes • No O		

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T131_07 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type 1 Hemic Organics 0-8 ¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³ **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) **Underlying Layer** Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) ✓ Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleyed (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: frozen **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): 8 Remarks:

HTDROLOGT								
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (two or more a								
Primary Indicators (any one is	Water Stained Leaves (B9)							
Surface Water (A1)			Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery	ry (B7) Drainage Patterns (B10)				
✓ High Water Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	ce (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)				
✓ Saturation (A3)			☐ Marl Deposits (B15)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)				
☐ Water Marks (B1)			☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Salt Deposits (C5)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)			☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	✓ Geomorphic Position (D2)				
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				✓ Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)				☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes 🔾	No 🕑	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present? Yes • No •		Depth (inches): 4	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○					
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	No O	Depth (inches): 1					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:								
Remarks:								
lakeshore wet sedge meadow.								

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0