WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Investigator(s): SLI, SCB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky Slope: % /° Elevation: 1042	Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Jul-13
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky Slope: % /° Elevation: 1042 Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.: 62.973824143 Long.: -148.275966287 Datum: WGS8 Soil Map Unit Name: NWI classification: PSS1B Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes	Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point: SW13_T131_03
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.: 62.973824143 Long.: -148.275966287 Datum: WGS8 Soil Map Unit Name: NWI classification: PSS1B Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No 	Investigator(s): SLI, SCB	Landform (hills	ide, terrace, hummocks etc.): Terrace
Soil Map Unit Name: NWI classification: PSS1B Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes	Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope:	% /° Elevation: 1042
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No C (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No C	Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	62.973824143	Long.: _148.275966287 Datum: WGS84
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes • No O	Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classification: PSS1B
	Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant	tly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ● Yes ●	No () No () No ()	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes 🖲 No 🔿				
Remarks: photo time 1040, #s 1439-1442								

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

۵h				Absolute Dominant Indi		Dominance Test worksheet:		
			<u>% Cover</u> Species?		Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.		-	0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2.			0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)		
3.			0			()		
4.			0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)		
5.			0					
	Total Cover		0			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0	20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species 13.1 x 1 = 13.1		
1	Betula nana		20	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species 7.2 $x 2 = 14.4$		
1. 2.	Vaccinium uliginosum		25	\checkmark	FAC	FAC Species 61.2 x 3 = 183.6		
2. 3.	Ledum decumbens		25		FACW	FACU Species $2 \times 4 = 8$		
						UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$		
4.	Empetrum nigrum		5		FAC			
5.	Salix reticulata		2		FAC	Column Totals: <u>83.5</u> (A) <u>219.1</u> (B)		
6.	Salix pulchra		5		FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.624		
7.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea		1		FAC			
8.	Salix fuscescens		0.1		FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9.	Andromeda polifolia (IAM)		0.1		OBL	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10.	Dasiphora fruticosa		0.1		FAC	✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0		
Total Cover: 60.3					Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in			
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 30.1		30.15			12.06	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Carex bigelowii		5	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2.	Trichophorum caespitosum		10	\checkmark	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Equisetum arvense		2		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.	Eriophorum angustifolium		2		OBL			
5.	Tofieldia pusilla	-	1		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>		
6.	Pedicularis labradorica		0.1		FACW	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)		
7.	Pedicularis lapponica		0.1		FAC	% Bare Ground _5		
8.	Carex scirpoidea		2		FACU	Total Cover of Bryophytes 40		
9.	Carex aquatilis		1		OBL			
10.	Bistorta vivipara		0.1		FAC	Hydrophytic		
Total Cover: 23.3 Vegetation								
50% of Total Cover: <u>11.65</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>4.66</u> Present? Yes \bigcirc No								
Remarks: trace of lycopodium selago								

bare ground includes rocks and standing water

	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features									
Depth (inches)	Color (mois		%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks	
0-12			.00			Туре	LUC	Organics		
						·		-		
									_	
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=[Depletion. R	1=Reduced	Matrix ² Location	: PL=Pore	e Lining. Ro	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		1	Indicators for Pro	blematic	Hydric S	oils: ³			
Histosol d	or Histel (A1)		[Alaska Color Ch	ange (TA4	4		Alaska Gleyed Without	Hue 5Y or Redder	
✓ Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		[Alaska Alpine sv	vales (TA5	5)		Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)		[Alaska Redox W	/ith 2.5Y H	lue		Other (Explain in Rema	rks)	
	k Surface (A12)									
	eyed (A13)			³ One indicator of I	nydrophyti	ic vegetatio	on, one prin	nary indicator of wetland	hydrology,	
	edox (A14)			and an appropriate	e landscap	e position	must be pre	esent		
	eyed Pores (A15)			⁴ Give details of co	lor change	e in Remar	ks			
	ver (if present):									
Type:	er (ir present).							Hydric Soil Presen	t?Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Depth (inc	hes).							Tryunc Son Fresen		
Remarks:										
refusal at 12in	due to cobbles-l	oulders.								
HYDROLC)GY									
Wetland Hyd	Irology Indicat	ors:						Secondary In	dicators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indic	ators (any one is	sufficient)						Water St	ained Leaves (B9)	
Surface V	Water (A1)			Inundation Vis	sible on Ae	erial Image	ery (B7)	Drainage	Patterns (B10)	
High Wat	ter Table (A2)			Sparsely Vege	tated Con	cave Surfa	ice (B8)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturatio	n (A3)			Marl Deposits	(B15)			Presence	of Reduced Iron (C4)	
Water Ma				Hydrogen Sulf	fide Odor ((C1)		Salt Depo	osits (C5)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)							Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Geomorphic Position (D2)							hic Position (D2)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)						Aquitard (D3)				
Iron Deposits (B5)										
Surface S	Soil Cracks (B6)							✓ FAC-neut	ral Test (D5)	
Field Observ	ations:	-	-							
Surface Wate	er Present?	$_{Yes}$ \bigcirc	No 🖲	Depth (inches	s):					
Water Table	Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Depth (inches	s): 10		Wetla	nd Hydrology Prese	nt? Yes $ullet$ No $igcap$	
Saturation Pr (includes cap		Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Depth (inches	s): 0					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:										
Remarks:										