WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 10-Jul-13				
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point: SW13_T127_01				
Investigator(s): SLI, SCB	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Mountainslope					
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: 12.0	% / <u>6.8</u> ° Elevation: <u>1207</u>				
Subregion : Southcentral Alaska Lat.:	62.941707492	Long.: -149.006336808 Datum: WGS84				
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classification: PEM1B				
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significar Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally	ar? Yes (ntly disturbed? problematic?	 No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) 				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	ampling point l	ocations, transects, important features, etc.				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Is the Sampled Area Hydric Soil Present? Yes No within a Wetland? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No No No No No

Remarks: alpine swale with small headwater streams. see SW13-T127-V01 for stream characterization. stream does not appear to flood community, this is a saturated system. cannot locate water table due to abundant cobbles-boulders.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

			Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tre	e Stratum		% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.			0			
2.			0			Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
3.			0			Percent of dominant Species
4.			0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)
5.			0			Provalance Index worksheet:
	Total Cover:		0			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$
1	Salix rotundifolia		5		FAC	FACW Species $10 \times 2 = 20$
2.	Harrimanella stellerana		5	\checkmark	FACW	FAC Species <u>10.1</u> x 3 = <u>30.30</u>
3.	Cassiope tetragona		1		FACU	FACU Species <u>1.1</u> x 4 = <u>4.400</u>
4.	Salix reticulata		0.1		FAC	UPL Species <u>10</u> x 5 = <u>50</u>
5.	Empetrum nigrum		0.1		FAC	Column Totals: 31.2 (A) 104.7 (B)
6.	Cassiope lycopodioides		0.1		UPL	
7.	Salix pulchra		0.1		FACW	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.356$
8.			0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.			0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.			0			Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
		Total Cover:	11.4			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in
Her	b Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	5.7 20%	of Total Cover:	2.28	Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Luetkea pectinata		10	\checkmark	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2.	Sanguisorba officinalis		3	\checkmark	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Saxifraga hieraciifolia		1		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Sedum rosea		1		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width)
5.	Alopecurus magellanicus		1		FACW	V. Cover of Wetland Prophytes
6.	Equisetum arvense		1		FAC	(Where applicable)
7.	Anemone richardsonii		1		FAC	% Bare Ground 1
8.	Saxifraga nelsoniana		1		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes 40
9.	Arctagrostis latifolia		1		FACW	
10.	Bistorta plumosa		0.1		FACU	Hydrophytic
		Total Cover:	20.1			Vegetation
		50% of Total Cover: <u>10</u>	0.05 20%	of Total Cover:	4.02	Present? Yes $ullet$ No $igcup$

Remarks: trace unid carex, carbig, rumex arcticus, veronica wormskjoldii, artemesia arctica, carex crawfordii, lycopodium annotinum, eriang, arclat, pyrola grandiflora, sibbaldia procumbens, cassiope tetragona, petffi, polacu. bare ground = rock. total graminoid cover app. 10%

Denth	Matrix				Red	lox Featu	ires	-	Texture	
(inches)	Color (moist)		%	Color (moist)		%	Type ¹	Loc 2		Remarks
0-2			100						Fibric Organics	
2-6	10YR	3/2	100						Sapric Organics	
6-8	2.5Y	3/2	90	7.5YR	4/4	10	С	PL	Silt Loam	w high organic content
8-12	10YR	3/2	100						Sapric Organics	
										-
	-			-		· .		a.		
17 0.0					2					
Type: C=Cor	ncentration. I	D=Depletio	n. RM=Red	uced Matrix	² Location	: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pre	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: ³	_	
Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) ⁴					4)		Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder			
Histic Epipedon (A2)				Alask	ka Alpine sv	wales (TA	5)		Underlying Layer	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			✓ Alask	<a redox="" td="" w<=""><td>Vith 2.5Y H</td><td>Hue</td><td></td><td>Other (Explain in Rem</td><td>arks)</td>	Vith 2.5Y H	Hue		Other (Explain in Rem	arks)
Thick Dark	< Surface (A1	2)		3 One ir	ndicator of	hydrophyl	tic vegetatio	on one prir	mary indicator of wetland	d bydrology
Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)			and an	appropriat	e landscar	pe position	must be pr	esent	a nyarology,
Alaska Red	dox (A14)			4 Civo	lotaile of ce	lor chang	o in Romar	ko		
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A	15)		·Give u				KS		
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)):								
Type:									Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Depth (incl	nes):									
Remarks:										
subangular cob	bles-boulders	s througho	ut soil profi	le						
ט וטפֿטאַנ	CV.									
Wetland Hvd	roloav India	ators:							Secondary Ir	dicators (two or more are required)
Primary Indica	itors (any one	e is sufficie	nt)						Water S	tained Leaves (B9)
Surface V	/ater (A1)				undation Vi	sible on A	erial Image	erv (B7)	 ✓ Drainag	e Patterns (B10)
High Wate	er Table (A2)				arselv Veo	etated Cor	ncave Surfa	ce (B8)		Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation	n (A3)			Ma	arl Deposits	(B15)		()	Presenc	e of Reduced Iron (C4)
Water Ma	rks (B1)			Hv	droaen Sul	fide Odor	(C1)		Salt Dep	posits (C5)
Sediment	Sediment Deposits (B2)						Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			

Remarks:

Drift Deposits (B3)

Iron Deposits (B5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?

(includes capillary fringe)

Water Table Present?

Saturation Present?

Algal Mat or Crust (B4)

Surface Soil Cracks (B6)

Yes \bullet No \bigcirc

Yes 🔘 No 🖲

Yes

No O

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

scattered pools of standing water in drainages throughout site, but do not believe these meet the intent of A1, Surface Water. drainages (R3UBH) characterized by SW13-T127-V01. soils saturated at 11in bgs, cannot locate water table (cobbles-boulders throughout soil profile) or shallow aquitard, thus not checking A3, Saturation. large alpine swale, water from adjacent slopes.

Other (Explain in Remarks)

Depth (inches): 2

Depth (inches): 11

Depth (inches):

Geomorphic Position (D2)

Microtopographic Relief (D4)

Yes 💿 No 🔾

Shallow Aquitard (D3)

▼ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Wetland Hydrology Present?