## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

ماراد	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Jiougii/City.	Matanusk	ka-Susitna Borough Sampling Date:09-Jul-13		
Վրբու	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T123_07		
	igator(s): WAD, BAB	ı	_andform (hill	side, terrac	ce, hummocks etc.): Hillside/drainage		
	relief (concave, convex, none): concave				° Elevation: 1009		
	gion : Southcentral Alaska		· 32.752722621		Long.: -149.401902556 Datum: WGS84		
			12.13212202				
	ap Unit Name:			<u> </u>	NWI classification: PEM1E		
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti						
		,	disturbed?		tormar or cametanoco procont.		
Are \	Vegetation . , Soil . , or Hydrology .	naturally pro	obiematic?	(If nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)		
MUS	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	wing sam	pling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.		
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No C						
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes   No C		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○				
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○						
Dan							
Ren	narks: photo num 1253,1254 photo time 1531						
	prioto time 1551						
/EGI	<b>ETATION</b> -Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all spe	cies in the	plot.			
					Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tre	ee Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)		
2.					Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)		
3.							
4.		0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)		
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	Total Cover	:			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species 43.1 x 1 = 43.1		
1	Salix fuscescens	2	<b>✓</b>	FACW	FACW Species 4 x 2 = 8		
2.	Salix luscesceris		_	TACVV			
		0			FAC Species 5 x 3 = 15		
3		•					
3. 4.		0			FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400		
		0			FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0		
4. 5.		0 0			FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400		
4.		0 0 0			FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0		
4. 5. 6.		0 0 0 0			FACU Species $0.1$ $\times 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$		
4. 5. 6. 7.		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8.		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			FACU Species $0.1$ $\times 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:     Dominance Test is > 50%		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.		0 0 0 0 0 0			FACU Species $0.1$ $\times 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:    Dominance Test is > 50%   Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover		FACU Species $0.1$ $\times 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	: 0.4 FACW	FACU Species $0.1$ $\times 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $\leq 1$ (Provide supporting data in		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Total Cover  rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover		FACU Species $0.1$ $x 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $x 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b>	Total Cover  rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Swertia perennis	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	FACW	FACU Species $0.1$ $\times 4 = 0.400$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $52.2$ (A) $66.5$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.274$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:   Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.  Hel	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Swertia perennis Comarum palustre	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20%	of Total Cover	FACW	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.  Heel 1. 2. 3.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Swertia perennis  Comarum palustre  Eriophorum angustifolium	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20%	of Total Cover	FACW OBL OBL	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> e 1. 2. 3. 4.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Swertia perennis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Sedum rosea Carex atrofusca	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20% 1 3 15 25 5	of Total Cover	FACW OBL OBL FAC FACW	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hee</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Swertia perennis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Sedum rosea Carex atrofusca Bistorta plumosa	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20% 1 3 15 25 5 1 0.1	of Total Cover	FACW OBL OBL FAC FACW FACU	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> e 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Swertia perennis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Sedum rosea Carex atrofusca Bistorta plumosa Trichophorum caespitosum	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20% 15 25 5 1 0.1	of Total Cover	FACW OBL OBL FAC FACW	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Heel 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover  rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Swertia perennis  Comarum palustre  Eriophorum angustifolium  Carex aquatilis  Sedum rosea  Carex atrofusca  Bistorta plumosa	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20% 1 3 15 25 5 1 0.1 0.1	of Total Cover	FACW OBL OBL FAC FACW FACU	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> e 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Swertia perennis  Comarum palustre  Eriophorum angustifolium  Carex aquatilis  Sedum rosea  Carex atrofusca  Bistorta plumosa  Trichophorum caespitosum	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20% 1 3 15 25 5 1 0.1 0.1	of Total Cover	FACW OBL OBL FAC FACW FACU	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover  sbertia perennis  Comarum palustre  Eriophorum angustifolium  Carex aquatilis  Sedum rosea  Carex atrofusca  Bistorta plumosa  Trichophorum caespitosum	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 20% 13 15 25 5 1 0.1 0 0 0		FACW OBL OBL FAC FACW FACU OBL	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 52.2 (A) 66.5 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.274  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13\_T123\_07

0-1 1-12	moist)	<u>%</u> _ C			- 1	2		
			Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	<u>Loc</u> 2	Texture  Fibric Organics	Remarks
							Hemic Organics	
-				-				
				-				
Type: C=Concentration.	D=Depletion.	RM=Reduced	Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location	: PL=Pore	Lining. RC	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix	
lydric Soil Indicators:		I	ndicators for Pr	oblematic	Hydric So	oils:		
Histosol or Histel (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2)			☐ Alaska Color Change (TA4) ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder ☐ Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) ☐ Underlying Layer					
Thick Dark Surface (A	12)	_	_					
Alaska Gleyed (A13)			One indicator of and an appropriat				nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,
Alaska Redox (A14)				•		•		
Alaska Gleyed Pores (	A15)	•	<sup>4</sup> Give details of co	lor change	in Remark	is .		
estrictive Layer (if presen	t):							
Type: seasonal frost							Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ● No O
Depth (inches): 12								
YDROLOGY								
etland Hydrology Ind	icators:							cators (two or more are required)
rimary Indicators (any or	ne is sufficient)							ned Leaves (B9)
Surface Water (A1)			Inundation Vi					atterns (B10)
✓ High Water Table (A2	2)		Sparsely Vege		cave Surfac	ce (B8)		nizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposits	. ,				f Reduced Iron (C4)
Water Marks (B1)	12)		Hydrogen Sul				Salt Deposi	
<ul><li>Sediment Deposits (B</li><li>Drift Deposits (B3)</li></ul>	02)		Dry-Season V				✓ Geomorphi	Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B	4)		U Other (Explai	ı ın kemar	KS)		✓ Geomorphi ✓ Shallow Aq	
Iron Deposits (B5)	<del>1</del> )							raphic Relief (D4)
Surface Soil Cracks (E	36)						✓ FAC-neutra	' '
ield Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No $\bigcirc$	Depth (inche	s): 2				
Water Table Present?	Yes 💿	No O	Depth (inche	, -). 2		Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes • No O
Saturation Present?				•		Tr Ceiai	na myanology i resem	100 0 110 0
includes capillary fringe)	Yes •	No O	Depth (inche	s): 0				
	tream gauge, i	monitor well, a	erial photos, prev	ious inspe	ction) if ava	ailable:		
escribe Recorded Data (s								
escribe Recorded Data (s emarks: urface water in a stream								

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0