WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Applicant/Owner Alaska Energy Authority BAB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.) Mountainslope	Project	/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	В	orough/City:	Matanusk	xa-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 04-Aug-13			
Local relief (concave, convex, none) rolling	Applica	int/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13 T119 01			
Lat. 62.8166100103				Landform (hill					
Subregion: Interior Alaska Mountains	-					· ·			
Are climatichydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ● No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation │ , Soil │ , or Hydrology │ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ● Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ● within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ● Is the Sampled Area within a Wetlan			Lot						
Are climatichydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology aignificantly disturbed? Are Normal Circumstances' present? Yes No Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology aignificantly disturbed? Are Normal Circumstances' present? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Weltand Hydrology No Weltand Hydrolog	_		13						
Are Vegetation , or Hydrology					<u> </u>				
Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? No	Are V Are V	egetation , Soil , or Hydrology segetation , Soil , or Hydrology not	ignificantly naturally pr ving sam	y disturbed? roblematic? npling point	Are "N (If nee	lormal Circumstances" present? Yes No Oeded, explain any answers in Remarks.) s, transects, important features, etc.			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes				Is	Is the Sampled Area				
Note				within a Wetland? Yes ○ No •					
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot. Tree Stratum Absolute % Cover % Species? Dominant Species Status Indicator Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:									
Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7	Tree	·	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator	Number of Dominant Species			
2.	1.								
4. 0			0						
Total Cover: D									
Total Cover						That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 71.4% (A/B)			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 0 20% of Total Cover: 0 Description of Total Cover: 0 FAC FACW Species 3.1 x 2 = 6.2 6.2 FACW Species 3.1 x 3 = 93 93 FACU Species 3.1 x 3 = 93 PACW Species 3.1 x 4 = 32.80 PERCU Species 3.1 x 4 = 32.80 PERCU Species 1.2.1 x 5 = 60.50 Column Totals: 5.4.4 (A) 192.5 (B) 6. Vaccinium vitis-idaea 1 FAC FAC Column Totals: 54.4 (A) 192.5 (B) 7. Dryas octopetala 10 V UPL Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.539 3.539 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: V Dominance Test is > 50% Dominance Test is > 50% Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	5.								
1. Betula nana						Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
2. Arctostaphylos rubra 3. Empetrum nigrum 5	Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species x 1 =0			
3. Empetrum nigrum 4. Ledum decumbens 5. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. Vaccinium uliginosum 6. Vaccinium vitis-idaea 7. Dryas octopetala 8. Loiseleuria procumbens 9. Salix stolonifera 10	1.	Betula nana	7	✓	FAC	FACW Species 3.1 x 2 = 6.2			
3. Empetrum nigrum 4. Ledum decumbens 5. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. Vaccinium uliginosum 6. Vaccinium vitis-idaea 7. Dryas octopetala 8. Loiseleuria procumbens 9. Salix stolonifera 10	2.	Arctostaphylos rubra	5	✓	FAC				
5. Vaccinium uliginosum 6. Vaccinium vitis-idaea 7. Dryas octopetala 8. Loiseleuria procumbens 9. Salix stolonifera 10	3.	Francisco nicoro	5	✓	FAC	FACU Species :####; x 4 = 32.80			
6. Vaccinium vitis-idaea 7. Dryas octopetala 8. Loiseleuria procumbens 9. Salix stolonifera 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	4.	Ledum decumbens	3		FACW	UPL Species <u>12.1</u> x 5 = <u>60.50</u>			
7. Dryas octopetala 10	5.	Vaccinium uliginosum	5	✓	FAC	Column Totals: <u>54.4</u> (A) <u>192.5</u> (B)			
7. Dryas octopetala 8. Loiseleuria procumbens 9. Salix stolonifera 10	6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	_1_		FAC				
9. Salix stolonifera 1	7.	Dryas octopetala	10	✓	UPL	Prevalence index = b/A = 3.539			
10	8.	Loiseleuria procumbens	3		FACU				
Total Cover: 40	9.	Salix stolonifera			UPL	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 20 20% of Total Cover: 8 Remarks or on a separate sheet) 1. Carex podocarpa 2. Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum 5	10.					Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
2. Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum 5	Her		: 8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)					
3. Campanula lasiocarpa 1 UPL be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1.	Carex podocarpa			FAC				
3. Campandia lasiocarpa	2.								
	3.		_			pe present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m	4.	Calamagrostis stricta ssp. inexpansa	0.1		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width)			
5. Antennaria friesiana UPL % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes						% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
6. Anemone narcissiflora 0.1 FACU (Where applicable)									
7. Pedicularis capitata 0.1 FACU % Bare Ground 15					FACU				
8						Total Cover of Bryophytes15			
iv Hydrophytic	10.					Hydrophytic Vegetation			
Total Cover: 14.4 Vegetation 50% of Total Cover: 7.2 20% of Total Cover: 2.88 Present? Yes No			of Total Cover:	2.88	Present? Yes No				
Remarks: bryophytes mostly lichen	_			22.31.		1			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T119_01

		the depth ne	eded to docur	ment the indicator or cor	nfirm the ab		cators)							
Depth (inches)	Color (mo	ist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks					
0-2			100					Hemic Organics	semi ang to ang gravel and cobbles					
2-6	10YR	3/3	100		-			Loam	semi ang gravel and cobbles					
								Coarse Sand	-					
6-16	2.5Y	4/3						Coarse Saliu	ang sand and gravel w few cobbles					
					- ——									
¹Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	:Depletion	. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix ² Location		_		annel. M=Matrix						
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric So	oils: ³							
Histosol or	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	nange (TA	4) ⁴		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder					
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Underlying Layer									
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	Hue	L	Other (Explain in Remark	(S)					
☐ Thick Dark	Surface (A12)			30				t the state of the state of the						
Alaska Gle				One indicator of and an appropriat				mary indicator of wetland hesent	ydrology,					
Alaska Red	dox (A14)						•							
	eyed Pores (A15	i)		⁴ Give details of co	olor change	e in Kemark	(S							
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):													
Type:	,							Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ○ No •					
Depth (inch	nes):													
HYDROLO	GY													
Wetland Hydi		tors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)					
	tors (any one i		c)					Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)					
Surface W	/ater (A1)			☐ Inundation V	isible on A	erial Image	_							
High Wate	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg	etated Cor	ncave Surfac	ce (B8)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)					
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)									of Reduced Iron (C4)					
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Salt Deposits (C5)														
	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season V					Stressed Plants (D1)					
☐ Drift Depo				Uther (Explai	n in Rema	ırks)			ic Position (D2)					
	or Crust (B4)								quitard (D3)					
☐ Iron Depo	. ,								graphic Relief (D4)					
	oil Cracks (B6)							☐ FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)					
Field Observa		Vac (No •	Donath (in also	,									
Surface Water				Depth (inche	s):									
Water Table P		Yes 🔾) No ⊙	Depth (inche	:s):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	it? Yes O No 🖲					
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes C	No •	Depth (inche	s):									
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:														
Remarks:														
no wetland hydrology indicators observed														
no reciona nyarology maleutois observed														

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0