WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 06-Jul-13							
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority	Sampling Point:SW13_T112_06							
Investigator(s): SLI, SCB	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Toeslope							
Local relief (concave, convex, none): tussocks	Slope: 2.0 % / 1.1 ° Elevation: 729							
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	62.794094443 Long.: -148.277992845 Datum: WGS84							
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: PSS1E							
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Is the Sampled Area Hydric Soil Present? Yes No within a Wetland? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No No No No

Remarks: disturbed site. hummocks w dead vegetation, from picea saplings to wetland sedges. indications of a burn - black marks on dead picea saplings. suspect small fire in area. immediately downslope is small pem1e wetland, followed by large earthen berm above beaver dam.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Tree Stratum			Absolute		Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
		% Co		Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1.				0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2.				0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
3.				0			Percent of dominant Species
4.				0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
5.				0			
		Total Cover:)			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
San	ling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	0	 20% of	Total Cover:	0	
Jap	ing/Sillub Stratum		<u> </u>				OBL Species <u>3.1</u> x 1 = <u>3.1</u>
1.	Vaccinium uliginosum			20	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species <u>10.2</u> x 2 = <u>20.40</u>
2.	Salix pulchra			10	\checkmark	FACW	FAC Species <u>32</u> x 3 = <u>96</u>
3.	Betula nana			10	\checkmark	FAC	FACU Species $1 \times 4 = 4$
4.	Salix roticulata			2		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =
5.	Diago glaven			1		FACU	Column Totals: 46.3 (A) 123.5 (B)
6.	Andromeda polifolia (IAM)			1		OBL	
7.				0			Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.667</u>
				0			
				0			\checkmark Dominance Test is > 50%
				0			$\mathbf{V} \text{ Prevalence Index is } \leq 3.0$
		Total Cover:		4			
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:						Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
1.	Eriophorum angustifolium			2	\checkmark	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2.	Equisetum variegatum		C	0.1		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Caray aquatilia		C).1		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Carey membranacea		0).1		FACW	
5.				0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>
				0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
-				0			% Bare Ground
				0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 30
				0			
•.				0			Underschadtin
10.		Total Cover:	_				Hydrophytic Vegetation
		50% of Total Cover: 1			Total Cover:	0.46	Present? Yes \bullet No \bigcirc
						0.40	

Remarks: veg mostly on mounds, lots of exposed organic soil in troughs, with low cover of sedges and equis etum. Scattered dead dwarf spruce.

SOI	L

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features							cators)				
Depth (inches)	Depth			Redox Features			Loc 2	Texture	Remarks		
0-4	<u>Color (mo</u> 2.5YR	3/4	<u>%</u> 100	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	LOC	Hemic Organics	Remarks		
4-8		2/1	100					Hemic Organics			
6-12			100					fine gravels to cobbles	rounded to sub-rounded		
12-14	2.5Y	3/2	100					Silt Loam	w coarse sand-fine gravels		
¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix											
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pro	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils ³				
_	r Histel (A1)			🗌 Alaska Color Ch		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epip	. ,			Alaska Alpine s		,		Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	lue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)		
	< Surface (A12))		_							
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)			³ One indicator of and an appropriat				nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,		
Alaska Red	dox (A14)						-				
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	5)		⁴ Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remar	ks				
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):										
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔾		
Depth (incl	nes):										
Remarks:											
disturbed site											
HYDROLO	CΛ										
Wetland Hyd		tors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
-	tors (any one i		t)						Water Stained Leaves (B9)		
Surface W	/ater (A1)			Inundation Vi	sible on A	erial Image	ery (B7)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
✓ High Wate	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Vege				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
Saturation	n (A3)			Marl Deposits	(B15)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
🗌 Water Ma	rks (B1)			Hydrogen Sul	fide Odor	(C1)		Salt Deposits (C5)			
	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season V	Vater Tabl	e (C2)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Depo				Other (Explai	n in Rema	rks)		_	c Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)							Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Iron Depo	. ,							Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
	oil Cracks (B6)							✓ FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)		
Field Observa		V									
Surface Water			No O	Depth (inche	s): 2						
Water Table P		Yes 🕑) No ()	Depth (inche	s): 1		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾		
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes 🖲) No ()	Depth (inche	s): 0						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:	h				6						
aescribing inter	r-nummocks, s	parsely ve	jetated w st	anding water/near su	irtace wat	er table.					