WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sa	ampling Date: 08-Jul-13
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling	Point: SW13_T101_07
Investigator(s): WAD, BAB	Landform (hills	ide, terrace, hummocks etc.): B	ench
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: 5.2	% / 3.0 ° Elevation: 852	
Subregion : Copper River Basin	Lat.: 62.664993405	Long.: -147.46710276	6 Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classific	ation: PSS1/4B
	e of year? Yes (nificantly disturbed? curally problematic?	No (If no, explain in Re Are "Normal Circumstances" pr (If needed, explain any answers)	esent? Yes 🔍 No 🔾
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showin	ng sampling point	locations, transects, importa	nt features, etc.
Hudronbutio Vagatation Brasant2 Vag 🌒 No			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ● Yes ●	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes \bullet No \bigcirc
Remarks: photo num 1129,1130 photo time 1509			

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Tree Stratum				Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
				Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species			
1.				0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)		
2.				0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)		
3.				0			Percent of dominant Species		
4.				0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.				0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
		Total Cover		0			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	0	20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1.	Vaccinium uliginosum			20	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species 60.1 x 2 = 120.2		
2.	Empetrum nigrum		-	15		FAC	FAC Species69 x 3 =207		
3.	Ledum decumbens		-	20	\checkmark	FACW	FACU Species x 4 =		
4.	Betula nana			10		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =		
5.	Salix pulabra			5		FACW	Column Totals: <u>129.1</u> (A) <u>327.2</u> (B)		
6.	Soliv barolovi			5		FAC			
7.	Vaccinium vitia idaga			3		FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.534		
8.	Picea mariana			35	\checkmark	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9.				0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
				0			✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0		
		Total Cover		113			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	56.5	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	22.6	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Equisetum arvense			8	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2.	Petasites frigidus			0.1		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Carex bigelowii		_	8	\checkmark	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.			_	0			Plot size (radius, or length x width)10m		
5.			-	0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes		
6.			-	0			(Where applicable)		
				0			% Bare Ground		
8.			-	0			Total Cover of Bryophytes		
9.				0					
10.				0			Hydrophytic		
			16.1			Vegetation Present? Yes • No O			
		50% of Total Cover:	8.05	20%	of Total Cover:	3.22	Present? Yes • No U		
Rem	Remarks:								

SOIL

	file Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features						cators)				
Depth (inches)	Depth		%	Color (moist)				Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-2							.,,,,,,		Fibric Organics		
2-3									Hemic Organics		
3-4	· ·				·		·		Sapric Organics		
	2 51/	4/2		10\/D		10					
4-7	2.5Y	4/3	90	10YR	4/6	10	RM	PL	Silty Clay Loam		
7-8	10YR	2/1							Silty Clay Loam	organic rich	
8-13	2.5Y	4/2	100								
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduc				-		nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: ³										
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			Alas	ka Color Cha	ange (TA4	ł) *		Alaska Gleyed Without Hu	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	pedon (A2)				ka Alpine sw		-		Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			🖌 Alas	ka Redox W	ith 2.5Y H	lue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)	
	k Surface (A12)			3 One ii	ndicator of h	vdronhvt	ic vegetatio	n one nrim	nary indicator of wetland h	vdrology	
	eyed (A13)				appropriate	, , ,	5	<i>'</i>	/	yarology,	
Alaska Re	dox (A14) eyed Pores (A15	;)		⁴ Give o	letails of col	or change	e in Remarl	s			
Restrictive Lay		7									
_ `	y Clay Loam								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔿	
Depth (incl									ingune bon i resent		
Remarks:											
	e and chroma c	on redox co	olors to me	et Alaska R	edox with 2						
Dordenine valu											
HYDROLO	GY										
	rology Indicat	tors:							Secondary India	cators (two or more are required)	
-	ators (any one is)							ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface V	Vater (A1)			In In	undation Vis	sible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)	🗌 Drainage P	atterns (B10)	
🗌 High Wat	er Table (A2)			🗌 Sp	arsely Vege	tated Con	icave Surfa	ce (B8)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation	n (A3)			🗌 Ma	arl Deposits	(B15)				f Reduced Iron (C4)	
U Water Ma	arks (B1)				drogen Sulf				Salt Depos		
_	Deposits (B2)			_	y-Season W		• •			Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Dep				L Ot	her (Explain	in Remai	rks)		Geomorphi		
	or Crust (B4)								Shallow Aq		
	()								✓ Microtopog ✓ FAC-neutra	raphic Relief (D4)	
Field Observa	ioil Cracks (B6)									i Test (D5)	
Surface Wate		Yes 🖲	No O	De	epth (inches)· 1					
		-	No 🖲			,		Watlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔿	
Water Table F Saturation Pre				De	epth (inches):		wettar	ia nyarology Presen	tr fes S No C	
Saturation Present? Yes Ves Ves Ves Depth (inches): 4											
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											