WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	B	orough/City:	Matanusk	ka-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Jul-13
Applic	cant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T101_06
	tigator(s): WAD, BAB		Landform (hill	side, terrac	ce, hummocks etc.): Channel (active)
Local	relief (concave, convex, none): concave				° Elevation: 850
	egion : Copper River Basin		62.666354537	_	Long.: -147.471637607 Datum: WGS84
			32.000334337		
	lap Unit Name:		. V	■ N= ○	NWI classification: R2UBH
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti Vegetation \Box , Soil \Box , or Hydrology \Box				
			disturbed?		tormar or cametanoco procont.
Are	Vegetation . , Soil . , or Hydrology .	naturally pr	oblematic?	(If nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho	wing sam	pling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No C)			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No C)			pled Area
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○)	wi	thin a W	/etland? Yes ● No ○
D	, ,,				
Rer	narks: photo num 1127, photo time 1432				
	prioto time 1132				
/EG	ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all spe	cies in the	plot.	
				<u> </u>	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tre	ee Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)
2.	•				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
3.					
4.		0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
5.		0			Parameter and a supplied and
	Total Cover	:			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sa	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species 81 x 1 = 81
	Colinarylehon	10	~	FACIAL	FACW Species 12 x 2 = 24
1. 2.	Salix pulchra			FACW	FAC Species 0.1 x 3 = 0.300
3.	-				FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
4.		_			UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
5.					
6.					Column Totals:93.1 (A)105.3 (B)
7.		^			Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.131
8.		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.		0			
					Dominance Test is > 50%
10.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is < 3.0
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
		0 10	of Total Cover	 :2	
	Total Cover erb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 10	of Total Cover	: <u>2</u> OBL	 ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in
He	Total Cover brb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis	0 10 5 20%			 ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
_ He	Total Cover erb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis	0 10 5 20% 30 1		OBL	 ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1. 2.	Total Cover erb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Company polyetro	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50		OBL OBL	 ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. 2. 3.	Total Cover set Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2		OBL OBL	 ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Total Cover Erb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2 0.1		OBL OBL FACW	 ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Total Cover set Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia Equisetum sylvaticum	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2 0.1		OBL OBL FACW	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia Equisetum sylvaticum	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2 0.1 0		OBL OBL FACW	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover srb Stratum Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia Equisetum sylvaticum	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2 0.1 0 0		OBL OBL FACW	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover serb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia Equisetum sylvaticum	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2 0.1 0 0		OBL OBL FACW	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes Hydrophytic
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover set Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Equisetum fluviatile Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia Equisetum sylvaticum	0 10 5 20% 30 1 50 2 0.1 0 0 0		OBL OBL OBL FACW FAC	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T101_06 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type ¹ ¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³ **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder **Underlying Layer** Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue **✓** Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleyed (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: assume hydric soils due to flowing water and channel morpohlogy HYDROLOGY

IIIDROLOGI	H I DROLOG I						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)							
Primary Indicators (any one is	s sufficient)	Water Stained Leaves (B9)					
✓ Surface Water (A1)		Inundation Visible on Aerial Image	gery (B7)				
High Water Table (A2)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	face (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)				
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)				
☐ Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Salt Deposits (C5)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	✓ Geomorphic Position (D2)				
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)			☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			FAC-neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes No	Depth (inches): 12					
Water Table Present? Yes ○ No ●		Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○				
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No •		Depth (inches): 0					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:							
Davida.							
Remarks:							

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0