## **WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region**

Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough

Sampling Date: 08-Aug-12

Project/Site:

Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project

tit(-).				
vestigator(s): SLI, KMK				e, hummocks etc.): Terrace
cal relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope: 0.0	% / 0.0	° Elevation: 757
oregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.: 6	2.890739911	6	Long.:148.463311643
Map Unit Name:				NWI classification: PEM1F
climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time	of year?	Yes (	No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)
re Vegetation $\square$ , Soil $\square$ , or Hydrology $\square$ sign	nificantly	disturbed?	Are "N	ormal Circumstances" present? Yes   No ○
re Vegetation $\ \square$ , Soil $oldsymbol{arVert}$ , or Hydrology $\ \square$ nat	urally pro	blematic?		ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
IMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showir	a cami	olina point		
	iy saiii	pility politi	locations	s, transects, important leatures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No		ls <sup>1</sup>	the Sam	pled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes   No			thin a W	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○		***	iiiii a vv	otiuna.
Remarks: splitting transect w CTS, begin numbering SLI plot	s at 50. v	wet sedge me	adow w sc	attered peat hummocks. hummocks range in size from 30
100cm, dominated by betnan and vaculi.				
COPTATION III III III III III III III III III				
<b>GETATION</b> -Use scientific names of plants. List	all spec	cies in the p	olot.	
	bsolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
1	o Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:5 (A)
				Total Number of Dominant
2.				Species Across All Strata:5(B)
1				Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
5.				
Total Cover:				Prevalence Index worksheet:  Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 0		of Total Cover:	0	ODI Ossaisa
				OBL Species 71 x 1 = 71
	_			FACW Species 7 x 2 = 14
1. Salix fuscescens	7	<b>V</b>	FACW	FACW Species 7 x 2 = 14
2. Betula nana	10	<b>✓</b>	FAC	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vasainima vilinina aura.	10		FAC OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45 FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum	10 3 5		FAC	FAC Species       15       x 3 =       45         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5.	10		FAC OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45 FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
<ul> <li>2. Betula nana</li> <li>3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM)</li> <li>4. Vaccinium uliginosum</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> </ul>	10 3 5 0		FAC OBL	FAC Species       15       x 3 =       45         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0
<ul> <li>2. Betula nana</li> <li>3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM)</li> <li>4. Vaccinium uliginosum</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ul>	10 3 5 0		FAC OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398
<ul> <li>2. Betula nana</li> <li>3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM)</li> <li>4. Vaccinium uliginosum</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ul>	10 3 5 0 0		FAC OBL	FAC Species       15       x 3 =       45         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0         Column Totals:       93       (A)       130       (B)
<ul> <li>2. Betula nana</li> <li>3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM)</li> <li>4. Vaccinium uliginosum</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9.</li> </ul>	10 3 5 0 0 0		FAC OBL	FAC Species $15$ $\times 3 = 45$ FACU Species $0$ $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $93$ (A) $130$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.398$
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0		FAC OBL FAC	FAC Species $15$ $\times 3 = 45$ FACU Species $0$ $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $93$ (A) $130$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.398$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ □	FAC OBL FAC	FAC Species $15$ $\times 3 = 45$ FACU Species $0$ $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $93$ (A) $130$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.398$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 12.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL	FAC Species $15$ $\times 3 = 45$ FACU Species $0$ $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $93$ (A) $130$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.398$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\le 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 12. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20%	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ □	FAC OBL FAC  S OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover: Herb Stratum 1. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species $15$ $\times 3 = 45$ FACU Species $0$ $\times 4 = 0$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $93$ (A) $130$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.398$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\le 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 12. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  S OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 1. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata 5.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 12. 1. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata 5. 6.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 12. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata 5. 6. 7.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5 3	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground 90
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 5.0% of Total Cover:  1. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata 5. 6. 7. 8.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5 3 0	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  1. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5 3 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground 90  Total Cover of Bryophytes
2. Betula nana 3. Andromeda polifolia (IAM) 4. Vaccinium uliginosum 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Total Cover:  Herb Stratum 5.0% of Total Cover:  1. Carex limosa 2. Carex aquatilis 3. Eriophorum scheuchzeri 4. Carex rotundata 5. 6. 7. 8.	10 3 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 5 20% 30 30 5 3 0 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ □ □ of Total Cover:	FAC OBL FAC  5 OBL OBL OBL	FAC Species 15 x 3 = 45  FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 93 (A) 130 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.398  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground 90

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW12\_T44\_50 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:<sup>3</sup> **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder **Underlying Layer** Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue **✓** Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) <sup>3</sup> One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleyed (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) <sup>4</sup> Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: ssume hydric soils due to inundation and hydrophytic vegetation

HYDROLOGY			
Wetland Hydrology Indica	itors:		Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)
Primary Indicators (any one	is sufficient)		Water Stained Leaves (B9)
✓ Surface Water (A1)		☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Image	ry (B7) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surfa	ce (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
☐ Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)			☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	ı		FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes   No	Depth (inches): 6	
Water Table Present?	Yes ○ No •	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○ No •	Depth (inches):	
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitor we	ell, aerial photos, previous inspection) if av	ilable:
Remarks:			
1			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0