WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Aug-12
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority	Sampling Point: SW12_T44_01
Investigator(s): CTS, EKJ	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Lowland
Local relief (concave, convex, none): flat	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0 ° Elevation: 752
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.: 62.8985399087 Long.: -148.474689971 Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: Upland
	of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) ificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No rally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showin	g sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ● Yes ○	No 🔾 No 💭 No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes \bigcirc No $lacksquare$
Remarks: Lowland Fnwws near Deadma	an Creek			

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		۸hc	Absolute Dominan		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum			over	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.	Picea glauca		20		FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2.			0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)		
3.			0					
4.			0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 57.1% (A/B)		
5.			0					
0.	Total Cover		20			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
6	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	•		of Total Cover:	4	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Jah		10	20/01		4	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1.	Salix pulchra		15	\checkmark	FACW	FACW Species <u>18</u> x 2 = <u>36</u>		
2.	Betula nana		20	\checkmark	FAC	FAC Species <u>90.1</u> x 3 = <u>270.3</u>		
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum		30	\checkmark	FAC	FACU Species <u>62</u> x 4 = <u>248</u>		
4.	Empetrum nigrum		5		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =		
5.	Ledum decumbens		1		FACW	Column Totals: 170.1 (A) 554.3 (B)		
6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea		10		FAC			
7.	Spiraea stevenii		15	\checkmark	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.259</u>		
8.	Ledum groenlandicum		2		FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9.	Rosa acicularis		1		FACU	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10.	Ribes triste		1		FAC	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
	Total Cover		100			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in		
200				of Total Cover:	Cover: 20 Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1.	Calamagrostis canadensis		15	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2.	Cornus canadensis		15	\checkmark	FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Chamerion angustifolium		3		FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.	Rubus chamaemorus		1		FACW			
5.	Petasites frigidus		1		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>		
6.	Rubus arcticus (IAM)		8		FACU	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes <u>65</u> (Where applicable)		
7.	Polemonium acutiflorum		0.1		FAC	% Bare Ground 0		
8.	Equisetum sylvaticum		5		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes 65		
9.	Equisetum arvense		2		FAC			
10.			0			Hudrophy tio		
10.	Total Cover	 : :	50.1	_		Hydrophytic Vegetation		
				of Total Cover:	10.02	Present? Yes • No O		
Remarks: 5% linbor								

Profile Description	bii. (Describe ti	Matrix	leeded to do	cument the mu		lox Featu		Jators)		
(inches)	Color (moist)		%	Color (m	Color (moist)		Type 1	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks
0-2			90						Fibric Organics	10% roots
2-4	7.5YR	3/2	95						Silt Loam	5% roots and organic layer at bottom
4-6	10YR	3/3	95	10YR	3/4	5	С	PL	Sandy Loam	few roots and organic layer at bottom
6-8	2.5Y	4/2	90	7.5YR	3/4	10	С	PL	Fine Sandy Loam	few roots and organic layer at bottom
8-10	10Y	4/1	90	7.5YR	3/3	10	С	PL	Fine Loamy Silt	few root casts and organic inclusions
10-11	10YR	3/4	100						Fine Loamy Silt	thin organic layer at bottom
11-13	10YR	4/4	100						Sand	
13-20	2.5Y	5/3	100						Sand	
¹ Type: C=Cor	centration. D)=Depletio	n. RM=Red	luced Matrix	² Location	1: PL=Por	re Lining. R	C=Root Ch;	annel. M=Matrix	
							-		· · ·	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils. ³ Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) ⁴ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Underlying Layer Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Alaska Redox With 2.SY Hue Other (Explain in Remarks) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Gleyed (A13) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Type: Peth (inches): Remarks: Hydric Soil Present?										
Saturation	rology Indic tors (any one /ater (A1) er Table (A2) n (A3) rks (B1) Deposits (B2	e is sufficier	<u>nt)</u>	Spa	arsely Vege arl Deposits rdrogen Sult y-Season W	etated Cor 5 (B15) Ifide Odor Vater Tabl	ole (C2)		Water S Water S Drainag Oxidized Presend Salt Dep Stunted	Indicators (two or more are required) Stained Leaves (B9) ge Patterns (B10) ed Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ce of Reduced Iron (C4) eposits (C5) d or Stressed Plants (D1) rphic Position (D2)
	or Crust (B4)	、 、			her (Explair	n in Rema	irks)			v Aquitard (D3)

Yes 🔿 No 🖲

Yes 🔿 No 🖲

Yes 🔘 No 🖲

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

no wetland hydrology indicators

Iron Deposits (B5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?

Water Table Present?

(includes capillary fringe)

Saturation Present?

Remarks:

Surface Soil Cracks (B6)

Microtopographic Relief (D4)

Yes 🔘 No 🖲

FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Wetland Hydrology Present?