WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/0	City: Matanuska	a-Susitna Borough	Sampling Date	: 03-Aug-12
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Sampl	ing Point:	SW12_T37_08
Investigator(s): CTS, EKJ	Landforr	n (hillside, terrace	e, hummocks etc.):	Flat	
Local relief (concave, convex, none): flat	Slope:	1.7 % / 1.0	Elevation: 25	6	
Subregion : Southcentral Alaska	Lat.: 62.8176	499091	Long.:149.566019	9965	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI class	ification: Upla	nd
	me of year? significantly disturb naturally problemat		(If no, explain ir ormal Circumstances ded, explain any ansv	" present? Ye	ls ● No ○ .)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	wing sampling p	oint locations,	, transects, impo	rtant features	, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No 🖲)				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ Yes ○ Yes ○	No 💿 No 💿 No 💿	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{eta}$
Remarks: Riverine Stcaw				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		۸her	olute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tre	e Stratum		over	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.		-	0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2.			0			Total Number of Dominant
3.						Species Across All Strata: (B)
3. 4.			0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)
4. 5.			0			
5.			0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
	Total Cover	r:	0			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0	20% c	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species x 1 =
1.	Salix barclayi		30	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species <u>10</u> x 2 = <u>20</u>
2.	Viburnum edule		20		FACU	FAC Species <u>40</u> x 3 = <u>120</u>
3.	Alnus incana ssp. tenuifolia		40	\checkmark	UPL	FACU Species 85 x 4 = 340
4.	Rosa acicularis		15		FACU	UPL Species x 5 =200
5.	Rubus idaeus		10		FACU	Column Totals: 175 (A) 680 (B)
6.			0			
7.			0			Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.886</u>
			0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
			0			Dominance Test is > 50%
			0			Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
10.	Total Cover		115			
Total Cover: 115 Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 57.5 20% of					23	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
-	Artomicio tilocii		5		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
1.			8		FACW	
2.	Delphinium glaucum		° 15		FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
3.	Heracleum maximum					
4.	Chamerion angustifolium		10		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>
5.	Mertensia paniculata		6		FACU	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
6.	Galium aparine		1		FACU	(Where applicable)
7.	Trientalis europaea		1		FACU	% Bare Ground
8.	Equisetum pratense		2		FACW	Total Cover of Bryophytes
9.	Calamagrostis canadensis		10		FAC	
10.	Streptopus amplexifolius		2		FACU	Hydrophytic
	Total Cover	• _	60			Vegetation
	50% of Total Cover:	30	20% c	of Total Cover:	12	Present? Yes No 💿
Rem	arks: Acodel = 1 cover					

	on: (Describe t	o the depth r Matrix	needed to do	cument the indicator or co	onfirm the ab		cators)			
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)		%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
0-1			100					Fibric Organics		
1-4	10YR	2/2	80					Loamy Sand	20% roots	
4-5	7.5YR	2.5/1	80		_	_	-	Sandy Loam	20% roots	
5-7	2.5Y	4/2	90					Loamy Sand	10% roots	
7-11	2.5Y	4/3	95					Loamy Sand	5% roots	
11-13	5YR	2.5/2	100					Loamy Sand	few roots	
13-16	10YR	3/6	100	·				Loamy Sand	few roots	
16-20	10YR	4/3	100	·				Loamy Sand	few roots	
¹ Type: C=Con	centration. D	=Depletior	n. RM=Red	uced Matrix ² Location	n: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil Ir				Indicators for P						
-	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color C		4	5 III.	Alaska Gleved Without	Huo EV or Doddor	
Histosof of Histic Epipe	. ,					-		Underlying Layer	The ST of Redder	
	Sulfide (A4)				•	,		Other (Explain in Remarks)		
	. ,	2)								
	 Thick Dark Surface (A12) Alaska Gleyed (A13) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscare position much be present. 								d hydrology,	
	Alaska Redox (A14) and an appropriate landscape position must be present									
	yed Pores (A	15)		⁴ Give details of c	olor chang	e in Remarl	KS			
Restrictive Laye	r (if present)):								
, Type:	(Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Depth (inch	es):									
Remarks:										
no hydric soil in	dicators									
,										
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hydr	-	ators:						Secondary I	ndicators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicat	ors (any one	e is sufficier	nt)					Water S	tained Leaves (B9)	
Surface W	ater (A1)			Inundation V	/isible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)	🗌 Drainag	e Patterns (B10)	
🗌 High Wate	r Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg	jetated Col	ncave Surfa	ce (B8)	Oxidized	Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation	(A3)			🗌 Marl Deposit	s (B15)			Presence	e of Reduced Iron (C4)	
🗌 Water Mar	·ks (B1)			Hydrogen Su	ılfide Odor	(C1)		Salt Dep	oosits (C5)	
Sediment	Deposits (B2)		Dry-Season	Water Tab	le (C2)		Stunted	or Stressed Plants (D1)	
🗌 Drift Depo	sits (B3)			Other (Expla	in in Rema	arks)		Geomor	phic Position (D2)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)								Shallow	Aquitard (D3)	
Iron Deposits (B5)								Microto	oographic Relief (D4)	
Surface Sc	oil Cracks (B6	5)						FAC-neu	itral Test (D5)	
Field Observa	tions:									

rieiu	Obsei	vatio	15:

Yes O No 🖲 Surface Water Present? $_{\rm Yes} \odot \ _{\rm No} \odot$ Water Table Present?

Saturation Present? $_{\rm Yes} \odot \ _{\rm No} \odot$ (includes capillary fringe)

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Remarks:

no wetland hydrology indicators

Yes 🔘 No 🖲