WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sar	npling Date: 19-Jun-12
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling P	oint: SW12_T29_04
Investigator(s): JGK	Landform (hills	side, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hil	Iside
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: 83.9	% / 40.0 ° Elevation: 706	
Subregion : Southcentral Alaska La	at.: 62.787265908	8 Long.: -148.811263969	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classifica	tion: Upland
	year? Yes cantly disturbed? Illy problematic?	No (If no, explain in Rer Are "Normal Circumstances" pre (If needed, explain any answers	sent? Yes 💿 No 🔿
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing	sampling point	locations, transects, importan	t features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	ls	the Sampled Area	

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ⊖ Yes ⊖	No 💿 No 💿	within a Wetland?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum		% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)		
1.	Picea glauca	0.1		FACU			
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)		
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species		
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	Total Cover	0.1			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0.05 20%	of Total Cover:	0.02	OBL Species x 1 =		
1.	Betula glandulosa	20	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species 0 x 2 = 0		
2.	Betula nana	2		FAC	FAC Species 91 x 3 = 273		
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum	60	\checkmark	FAC	FACU Species <u>3</u> x 4 = <u>12</u>		
4.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	2		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =		
5.	Empetrum nigrum	-		FAC	Column Totals: <u>94</u> (A) <u>285</u> (B)		
6.	Ledum groenlandicum	2		FAC			
7.	Cornus canadensis	1		FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.032</u>		
8.	Chamerion angustifolium	2		FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
					Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
	Total Cover				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	47 20%	of Total Cover	: 18.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.		0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2.		0			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.		0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
					Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m		
					% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 0		
					(Where applicable)		
					% Bare Ground _2		
					Total Cover of Bryophytes 10		
9.		0					
10.		0			Hydrophytic		
	Total Cover	: _0			Vegetation		
	50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	Present? Yes No		
Rem	narks: Tripicala betneo salix sp. Trieur, Herbs groupe	d w shruhs	as less than "	5% total he	rh cover		

SOI	L

Profile Descript	ion: (Describe to	o the depth r Matrix	needed to docu	ocument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features				icators)			
(inches)	Color (m	oist)	%	Color (mois	t)	%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
0-7									Fibric Organics		
7-10	10YR	4/2	90						Sandy Clay	10% fine gravel	
10-11	7.5YR	3/3							Loamy Sand	10% fine gravel	
11-14	2.5YR	2.5/3	90						Loamy Sand	5% subangular cobbles 1-2 in diam.	
									Angular cobbles		
14-18										Large 5-6 in long	
¹ Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D	=Depletior	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix ² L	ocation:	PL=Pore	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators	for Pro	blematic	Hydric S	Soils: ³			
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			🗌 Alaska (Color Cha	ange (TA4	4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			🗌 Alaska A	Ipine sw	ales (TA5)		Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			🗌 Alaska F	ledox W	ith 2.5Y H	ue		Other (Explain in Remark	(s)	
Thick Dark	< Surface (A12	2)		3 0							
Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)							on, one prin must be pre	nary indicator of wetland h esent	iyarology,	
Alaska Red				⁴ Give deta			-	-			
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (Al	15)		Give deta				K3			
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)	:									
Type:									Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Depth (incl	nes):										
Remarks:											
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hyd		ators:							Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica	itors (any one	is sufficier	nt)						Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface W	/ater (A1)			Inund	ation Vis	sible on Ae	erial Image	ery (B7)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				ace (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C			
Saturation	. ,			Marl D	eposits	(B15)			_	of Reduced Iron (C4)	
Water Ma				Hydro	gen Sulf	ide Odor ((C1)		Salt Depos		
Sediment)				ater Table	• •		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Drift Depo	. ,			U Other	(Explain	in Remar	ks)			ic Position (D2)	
	or Crust (B4)									uitard (D3)	
Iron Depo	osits (B5) oil Cracks (B6	`								graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Field Observa)								ai Test (D5)	
Surface Wate		Yes	No 🖲	Denth	(inches	γ.					
				•		,		Watle		t? Yes 🔿 No 🖲	
Water Table F				Depth	(inches):		wetial	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🔾 No 🔍	
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes	No 🖲	Depth	(inches):					
Describe Recor	ded Data (str	eam gauge	e, monitor we	ll, aerial photo	os, previ	ous inspe	ction) if av	vailable:			
Remarks:											