WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 26-Jun-12							
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority	Sampling Point: SW12_T20_05							
Investigator(s): SLI, LMF	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.):							
Local relief (concave, convex, none): flat	Slope: 5.2 % / 3.0 ° Elevation: 608							
Subregion : Southcentral Alaska Lat.:	62.7296899083 Long.: -148.825889971 Datum: WGS84							
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: PFO4B							
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	mpling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ● Yes ●	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes 🖲 No 🔿
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		۵hd	olute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum			<u>% Cover Species?</u>		Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1.	1. Picea mariana			30	\checkmark	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6(A)
2.			_	0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
3.				0			Percent of dominant Species
4.				0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
5.			_	0			
		Total Cove	er:	30			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	15	20%	of Total Cover:	6	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$
	Diago moriono			10	\checkmark	FACW	FACW Species 73 $x 2 = 146$
1. 2.			_	 	\checkmark	FACW	FAC Species $28 \times 3 = 84$
	Salix pulchra		_				FACU Species $4 \times 4 = 16$
3.			_	5		FAC	
4.			_	5		FAC	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5.	Ledum groenlandicum		_			FAC	Column Totals: <u>105</u> (A) <u>246</u> (B)
6.			_	3		FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.343
				3		FACU	
8.	Betula neoalaskana		_			FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.			_	0			Dominance Test is > 50%
10.			_	0			✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0
		Total Cove	-	49			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 24			24.5			9.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Rubus chamaemorus		_	5	\checkmark	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2.	Equisetum sylvaticum		_	10	\checkmark	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Petasites frigidus			5	\checkmark	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Arctagrostis latifolia		_	3		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
5.	Cornus suecica			3		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
6.			_	0			(Where applicable)
				0			% Bare Ground 10
				0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 60
				0			
			_	0			Hydrophytic
		Total Cove	er:	26			Vegetation
		50% of Total Cover:			of Total Cover:	5.2	Present? Yes No
Rem	arks: abundant peltigera li	chens					

		the depth ne Matrix	eded to doc	cument the indicator or con Rec	onfirm the ab		ators)					
Depth (inches)	Color (moi		%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	F	Remarks		
0-3		50,	100			1162		Fibric Organics				
3-10		3/2	100					Sandy Clay Loam	positive rxn to a,a	a-dipyridol		
	-					-						
								• =				
¹ Type: C=Coi	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Redu	uced Matrix ² Location	n: PL=Po	— — re Linina. RC	`=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix				
		Depiction				-						
Hydric Soil I				Indicators for Pr		4	oils:	7				
	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	• •	,	L	Alaska Gleyed Without I Underlying Layer	Hue 5Y or Redder			
	bedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	-	-	V	Other (Explain in Remai	rkc)			
	Sulfide (A4)				///// 2.51 1	Hue	L		N3)			
	k Surface (A12)							mary indicator of wetland	hydrology,			
🔛 Alaska Gle				and an appropriat								
	dox (A14) eyed Pores (A15	5)		⁴ Give details of co	olor chanç	je in Remark	s					
		<i>י</i>					T					
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):						ĺ			\sim		
Туре:								Hydric Soil Present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodoldsymbol{ imes}$				
Depth (incl	nes):											
Remarks: alpha,alpha-dip	yridol reaction	positive in	75+% of	f uppper 12in within 6(0 seconds.							
HYDROLO	GY											
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:						Secondary Inc	dicators (two or mo	ore are required)		
Primary Indica	ators (any one is	s sufficient	.)					Water Sta	ained Leaves (B9)			
Surface W	Vater (A1)			Inundation V	/isible on /	Aerial Image	ry (B7)					
High Wate	. ,			Sparsely Veg	jetated Co	ncave Surfac	ce (B8)					
	Saturation (A3)							✓ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)				
Water Marks (B1)								Salt Deposits (C5)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)								Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks)								Geomorphic Position (D2)				
	or Crust (B4)								Aquitard (D3)			
Iron Depo									ographic Relief (D4	+)		
-	oil Cracks (B6)							FAC-neutr	ral Test (D5)			
Field Observa		v - 🕥										
Surface Wate	r Present?	-	No O	1 (2s): 2					\sim		
Water Table F		Yes 🔍	No 🔿	Depth (inche	es):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Prese	nt? Yes 🖲	No 🔿		
Saturation Pre	esent?	Var (

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Yes

No O

ponded water in game trails Remarks:

hiked past several seeps in this community. standing water (2in) in game trails. Rain, difficult to tell depth of saturation/water table, but within upper 12in.

Depth (inches):

(includes capillary fringe)