WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough | Sampling Date: 23-Jun-12

Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | Sampling Point: **SW12_T10_02**

Investigator(s): SLI, LMF | Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Alluvial fan

Local relief (concave, convex, none): rolling | Slope: 3.5 % / 2.0 ° | Elevation: 232

Subregion : Southcentral Alaska | Lat.: 62.7860099086 | Long.: -149.661129965 | Datum: WGS84

Soil Map Unit Name: | **NWI classification:** Upland

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ○ No ◉
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ◉	

Remarks: abundant dandelions (T.officianale) and boot tracks in gravel channel to the NW.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

	Tree Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.	Populus balsamifera	25	☑	FACU
2.	Populus tremuloides	35	☑	FACU
3.	Picea glauca	5	☐	FACU
4.		0	☐	
5.		0	☐	
	Total Cover:	65		

50% of Total Cover: 32.5 | 20% of Total Cover: 13

	Sapling/Shrub Stratum			
1.	Viburnum edule	50	☑	FACU
2.	Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata	7	☐	FAC
3.	Rubus idaeus	1	☐	FACU
4.	Rosa acicularis	7	☐	FACU
5.	Picea glauca	2	☐	FACU
6.	Oplopanax horridus	1	☐	FACU
7.	Mertensia paniculata	3	☐	FACU
8.	Gymnocarpium dryopteris	1	☐	FACU
9.	Equisetum arvense	3	☐	FAC
10.	Artemisia tilesii	1	☐	FACU
	Total Cover:	76		

50% of Total Cover: 38 | 20% of Total Cover: 15.2

	Herb Stratum			
1.	Phegopteris connectilis	5	☑	FACU
2.	Matteuccia struthiopteris	15	☑	FACW
3.	Streptopus amplexifolius	5	☑	FACU
4.	Calamagrostis canadensis	5	☑	FAC
5.	Trientalis europaea	1	☐	FACU
6.	Galium triflorum	1	☐	FAC
7.	Cornus canadensis	1	☐	FACU
8.	Rubus arcticus	1	☐	FAC
9.	Geranium bicknellii	1	☐	UPL
10.	Moehringia lateriflora	1	☐	FACU
	Total Cover:	36		

50% of Total Cover: 18 | 20% of Total Cover: 7.2

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 28.6% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL Species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW Species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC Species	17	x 3 =	51
FACU Species	144	x 4 =	576
UPL Species	1	x 5 =	5
Column Totals:	177 (A)		662 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.740

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations [1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation [1] (Explain)

[1] Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m

% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)

% Bare Ground 95

Total Cover of Bryophytes 3

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks: bare ground includes litter and lwd. Insufficient rows for herb stratum, four additional herbs captured in shrub stratum (does not change dominance test results).

SOIL

Sampling Point: SW12_T10_02

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)		Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)		Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-4	5Y	3/1	60						Sandy Clay	40% roots
4-13			80						Sand	20% roots
13-18			90						Sand	10% gravel fine to coarse

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix [2] Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ☐ Histosol or Histel (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed (A13)
- ☐ Alaska Redox (A14)
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ Alaska Color Change (TA4)[4]
- ☐ Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)
- ☐ Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present

[4] Give details of color change in Remarks

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)

- ☐ Surface Water (A1)
- ☐ High Water Table (A2)
- ☐ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Marl Deposits (B15)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)

- ☐ Water Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Salt Deposits (C5)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ○	No ◉	Depth (inches):

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ○ No ◉

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks: