

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 30-Jul-12
 Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW12_T05_02
 Investigator(s): CTS, EKJ Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Footslope
 Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky Slope: 3.5 % / 2.0 ° Elevation: 541
 Subregion: Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.: 62.7783399083 Long.: -147.918329974 Datum: WGS84
 Soil Map Unit Name: _____ NWI classification: PSS1B

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Remarks: Lower low slope to river	

VEGETATION -Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
Tree Stratum				Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>7</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>7</u> (B) Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
1. <u>Picea mariana</u>	<u>10</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
2. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
3. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
4. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
5. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover:		<u>10</u>		Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL Species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW Species <u>73</u> x 2 = <u>146</u> FAC Species <u>30.1</u> x 3 = <u>90.30</u> FACU Species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>103.1</u> (A) <u>236.3</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.292</u>
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: <u>5</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>2</u>				
1. <u>Betula nana</u>	<u>10</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
2. <u>Vaccinium uliginosum</u>	<u>15</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
3. <u>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</u>	<u>5</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
4. <u>Ledum decumbens</u>	<u>15</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
5. <u>Salix pulchra</u>	<u>2</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
6. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
7. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
8. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
9. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
10. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover:		<u>47</u>		
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: <u>23.5</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>9.4</u>				
1. <u>Petasites frigidus</u>	<u>20</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
2. <u>Rubus chamaemorus</u>	<u>10</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
3. <u>Arctagrostis latifolia</u>	<u>1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
4. <u>Eriophorum vaginatum</u>	<u>15</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
5. <u>Carex bigelowii</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
6. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
7. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
8. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
9. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
10. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover:		<u>46.1</u>		
50% of Total Cover: <u>23.05</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>9.22</u>				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Dominance Test is > 50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
 % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) 90
 % Bare Ground 0
 Total Cover of Bryophytes 90

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: Lots of Sphagnum!

SOIL

Sampling Point: **SW12_T05_02**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks		
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²				
0-11							Fibric Organics			
11-12							Hemic Organics			
12-15							Sapric Organics			
15-17	10YR	2/2	90	10YR	3/2	10	C	PL	Silt Loam	Organic concentration, ~20% roots

¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

Histosol or Histel (A1)
 Histic Epipedon (A2)
 Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
 Thick Dark Surface (A12)
 Alaska Gleyed (A13)
 Alaska Redox (A14)
 Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³

Alaska Color Change (TA4)⁴
 Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)
 Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue

Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer
 Other (Explain in Remarks)

³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present
⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: active layer (frozen)
 Depth (inches): 17

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 Sphagnum mat extensive between hummocks

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)

Surface Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
 High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
 Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)
 Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
 Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
 Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks)
 Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
 Iron Deposits (B5)
 Surface Soil Cracks (B6)

Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)

Water Stained Leaves (B9)
 Drainage Patterns (B10)
 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
 Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
 Salt Deposits (C5)
 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
 Geomorphic Position (D2)
 Shallow Aquitard (D3)
 Microtopographic Relief (D4)
 FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):
 Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches):
 Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 1

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:
 On the fence of saturation. Did not apply A3 as no associated water table or shallow aquitard w/in 12in.